

22 March 2024

RURAL SUBDIVISION

PALLISER DOWNS STAGE 1A

GEOTECHNICAL COMPLETION REPORT

Cabra Rural Developments Limited / Rahopara Farms Limited

AKL2019-0017AF Rev 2

AKL2019-0017AF		
Date	Revision	Comments
2 November 2023	A	Initial draft for internal review
14 February 2024	0	Draft issue to client
4 March 2024	B	Amended with inclusion of Lot 33 additional works
5 March 2024	1	Final issue
22 March 2024	2	Amendment to Lot 33 and 37 Effluent Disposal Areas

	Name	Signature	Position
Prepared by	Navneel Karan		Engineering Geologist
Reviewed by	Richard Knowles		Principal Geotechnical Engineer CMEngNZ, CPEng
Authorised by	Chris Ritchie		Principal Engineering Geologist CMEngNZ, PEngGeol



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	DESCRIPTION OF WORKS	1
3	GEOTECHNICAL QUALITY CONTROL.....	2
3.1	Site Observations	2
3.2	Compaction Control.....	2
4	EVALUATION OF COMPLETED EARTHWORKS.....	3
4.1	Natural Hazards	3
4.1.1	<i>Land Stability</i>	<i>3</i>
4.1.2	<i>Erosion</i>	<i>4</i>
4.1.3	<i>Liquefaction</i>	<i>4</i>
4.1.4	<i>Fill Induced Settlement.....</i>	<i>4</i>
4.2	Subsoil Drains and Groundwater	4
4.2.1	<i>Underfill Drains</i>	<i>4</i>
4.2.2	<i>Subsoil Drain Outlets.....</i>	<i>4</i>
4.2.3	<i>Groundwater.....</i>	<i>5</i>
4.3	Road Subgrades	5
4.4	Design of Shallow Foundations in Stable Building Areas.....	5
4.4.1	<i>Bearing Capacity</i>	<i>5</i>
4.4.2	<i>Foundation Settlements.....</i>	<i>5</i>
4.4.3	<i>Soil Expansiveness Classification.....</i>	<i>5</i>
4.4.4	<i>Site (Seismic) Class</i>	<i>6</i>
4.4.5	<i>Existing Fills (Lot 36 Stable Platform Area).....</i>	<i>6</i>
4.5	Construction of Foundations in Stable Building Areas	6
4.5.1	<i>Topsoil Depths on Stable Building Areas.....</i>	<i>6</i>
4.5.2	<i>Brown Inorganic Soils.....</i>	<i>6</i>
4.5.3	<i>Soil Expansivity.....</i>	<i>6</i>
4.6	On Site Effluent Disposal	7
4.7	Stormwater Disposal.....	7
5	CLOSURE.....	7

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Statement of Professional Opinion on Suitability of Land for Building Construction

Appendix B: Statement of Suitability of Engineered Fill for Lightweight Structures

Appendix C: As-Built Drawings

Appendix D: Soil Expansivity

Appendix E: Field Test Data

Appendix F: Stability Supplement

1 INTRODUCTION

In accordance with our instructions, this Geotechnical Completion Report has been prepared for Cabra Rural Developments Limited / Rahopara Farms Limited as part of the documentation to be submitted to Auckland Council following earthworks to form Stage 1A of the Palliser Downs development.

This report covers the construction period March 2022 to February 2024 and is intended to be used for certification purposes for new lots (listed below) created from existing lots 1 and 2 DP 55674 on newly Deposited Plan 596653 as follows:

- 5 new rural residential lots numbered lots 33 to 37;
- 1 new road numbered lots 5 and depicted as Road 2;
- one accessway servicing lots 33, 34 and 37 via way of easements rather than a separate lot.

This stage of the Palliser Downs Development is located on the western side of Palliser Downs Drive, Wainui. As can be seen from the as-built plans, all of the lots have been formed by cuts up to 5m deep and minor fills up to 1m deep while the road alignment has been more extensively filled.

Construction of this subdivision has been undertaken in general accordance with;

- Auckland Council's Resource Consent number BUN60069542 and Engineering Approval letter dated 13 May 2019.
- NZS4431:1989
- Auckland Council's Code of Practice for Land Development and Subdivision, Chapter 2 - Earthworks and Geotechnical, Version 1.6 dated September 2013.

This development was granted Resource Consent without existing geotechnical investigations. Accordingly, analyses completed to support the recommendations of the report are presented in Appendix F. In granting the Resource Consent, conservatively large on-site wastewater disposal areas were assigned. To date no additional soakage categorisation reporting has been completed.

For the construction of this stage of the development, the following roles were fulfilled as defined in NZS 4431:2022 and the Ministry for the Environment Contaminated Land Management Guidelines:

- Geotechnical Designer: CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited
- Certifier: CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited
- Recognised Laboratory: CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited
- Contractor: Opie Contractors Limited
- Sub-contractor (earthworks): Bob Hick Earthmoving

As CMW has fulfilled the roles of both earth fills Certifier and Geotechnical Designer, this report has been prepared as a combined report covering both of these aspects of the project work.

2 DESCRIPTION OF WORKS

The main earthworks contractor, Bob Hick Earthmoving Limited (BHE), working under Opie Contractors, commenced topsoil stripping of the first section of Road 2 on 29 March 2022. This was associated with gully muckouts, undercuts, drainage construction with outlets into the creek and backfill with engineered fill.

On 4 May 2022, BHE had stripped most of the east-west trending alignment of Road 2, rather than continue stripping north, BHE focus on fill road to grade by using cut material from proposed platforms.

On 9 May 2022, BHE mucked out a silt pond where soft material was found at the base of the silt pond. The silt pond base was undercut by a further 300mm before backfilling with engineered fill. On 18 May 2022, the gully muckout for the culvert began, this was to be backfilled with engineered fill.

There was a break between August 2022 and October 2022, due to winter conditions being unsuitable for earthworks, filling for the proposed road batter began on 26 October 2022 followed by topsoil stripping on the proposed lots on 28 October 2022.

During Christmas 2022 and New Years 2023, BHE completed filling for the cul-de-sac.

Road 2 was stabilised and tested on 16 March 2023 and metal was placed on road on 18 to 19 April 2023.

By the end of April 2023 most of the lots had been formed to subgrade level and were re-topsoiled, however, earthworks additional works to improve stability conditions in the low batter to the south of the Lot 33 platform were completed in February 2024.

The main items of plant used by the earthworks sub-contractors included:

- 1 x CAT 320C Excavator
- 1 x 20T Excavator
- 1 x Cat 815 Padfoot Compactor
- 1 x Moxy Dump Truck
- 1 x Bulldozer

3 GEOTECHNICAL QUALITY CONTROL

3.1 Site Observations

During the works site visits were typically undertaken several times each week to assess compliance with NZS 4431 and project specific design recommendations and specifications.

Site visits were carried out to observe and confirm compliance relating to:

- Adequate topsoil stripping;
- Fill areas prior to the placement of fill materials to ascertain that all topsoil, existing uncertified fills and soft inorganic subsoils had been removed;
- Installation of subsoil drains, excluding road under-channel drains;
- Backfilling of subsoil drains;
- Placement and compaction of engineered fills.

3.2 Compaction Control

Compaction of engineered earth fills was controlled by undrained shear strength measured by handheld shear vane calibrated using the NZGS 2001 method and by air voids as defined by NZS4402.

The criteria for undrained shear strength were a minimum single value of 100 kPa and minimum average of any 10 consecutive tests of 140 kPa.

The criteria for air voids were a maximum single value of 12% and maximum average of any 10 consecutive tests of 10%.

Vane shear strength, water content and in situ density tests were carried out on all areas of the engineered filling to at least the frequency required by the project specification (1/500m³).

While these tests showed on a few occasions that the contractor was struggling to achieve the required compaction standards with the prevailing site and soil conditions, to the best of our knowledge, all areas of fill were re-worked as necessary. Subsequent testing confirmed compliance with the specification.

4 EVALUATION OF COMPLETED EARTHWORKS

4.1 Natural Hazards

Natural hazards have been assessed for the completed landform across the lots and on each lot a **Stable Building Area** considered suitable for NZS 3604 type building development has been defined as depicted on the appended as-built plans. These areas are considered to be free of the natural hazards described in Section 71(3) of the Building Act, i.e. erosion, falling debris, subsidence, slippage, and inundation, although the eastern extents of the Lot 36 Stable Building Area have been earthworked by others previously. Further comment is provided in Section 4.4.5 and in the Suitability Statement in Appendix A.

Consideration of the inundation hazard was outside the scope of CMW's brief and has been assessed by others.

For reference purposes only, the Nominated Building Platforms from the Resource Consent approval have been included on the as-built drawings. Development recommendations and restrictions at these locations are those of the **Stable Building Areas** and any other **Specific Design** or **Bush Covenant Zones** they lie within following minor amendments to the original layout as outlined below.

4.1.1 Land Stability

The subdivision scheme layout includes a series of batter slopes to form level terraces for building platforms. The batters include portions of the lots with moderately steep gradients as depicted on the as-built drawings. Design of the works to provide appropriate stability conditions that meet regulatory requirements for the land, including grassed, planted and rock-faced batters, has led to earthworks undercuts being completed in some areas to replace low strength soils with engineered fills, and to the installation of subsoil drainage.

Stability conditions for finished ground profiles have been assessed under a range of groundwater conditions which satisfy ultimate limit state design criteria. The soil parameters for the analyses were selected from investigations undertaken at the site and from experience in this terrain. Details of our investigations and analyses are provided in **Appendix F**.

Stability conditions outside the Stable Building Areas may not achieve the same stability conditions as the **Stable Building Areas** and in most cases contain sloping land or are adjacent to sloping land. Accordingly, the following zones of restricted activity have been applied outside the **Stable Building Areas**:

- **Specific Design Zones** – All areas that are not either **Stable Building Areas** or **Bush Covenant Zones**. Development is not prohibited in these areas but it will be subject to specific investigation and design intended to protect any building development from natural hazards, including long term creep effects on or adjacent to steep slopes, and to protect the slopes from inappropriate loading or undermining. These zones include batter areas recently mulched planted as part of the development of the subdivision.
- **Bush Covenant Zones** – intended to protect areas of established vegetation and to ensure that stability conditions are not able to be compromised.

Plantings within some areas of the lots is protected by Resource Consent conditions and accordingly vegetation removal, earthworks and building development are prohibited in these areas. These areas are present on all the lots on this stage of the development but are outside the Stable Building Areas. Their extents are depicted on the appended C&R Surveyors Limited as-built plans.

The Bush Covenant Zones contain land that has not been evaluated or engineered to improve the natural stability conditions. Land in these areas may be subject to natural hazards as described in section 71(3)

of the Building Act however the presence of the existing vegetation in these areas will provide a degree of enhancement to stability conditions as described in Section 4.1.2 below.

Full descriptions of the restrictions associated with these zones are presented in our Opinion on Suitability in **Appendix A**. Additional information is also provided in some of the following sections.

4.1.2 Erosion

On all sloping land in this geological setting, including on engineered batter slopes, localised surface stability can be compromised, and erosion can be instigated by indiscriminate disposal of concentrated stormwater onto the ground surface and/ or by removal of vegetation. Further comments on stormwater disposal are provided in Section 4.7 below.

On batters, depths of mulch and topsoil should be limited to less than 150mm to minimise the risks of saturation leading to localised slumping on batter face, which is typified by the mulch layer sliding off the clay subgrade beneath. Wherever practical on such land, and particularly on steep batters, any plantings and grass cover should be well maintained as the roots of an established vegetation cover can serve to bind the surface soils, while the foliage can reduce rain infiltration and soil saturation, resulting in better resistance to erosion and shallow slumping.

4.1.3 Liquefaction

The liquefaction risk for the lots on this development has been assessed by review of Auckland Council GIS maps that confirm the damage category to be: Very Low Vulnerability.

4.1.4 Fill Induced Settlement

On the basis of the relatively minor magnitude of fill depths beneath Stable Building Areas, together with the elapsed time since it was placed, we consider that remaining post-construction settlements will be within code limits.

4.2 Subsoil Drains and Groundwater

The as-built plans show the positions of subsoil drains and their outlets that were installed during the earthworks as described in the following sub-sections.

Descriptions of restrictions associated with these drains and outlets are contained in our appended Opinion on Suitability in **Appendix A**.

4.2.1 Underfill Drains

These drains were installed at the bases of fills to assist with the earthworks operations by capturing seepages at the cleared ground level. They require no specific maintenance and while their ongoing function is not critical to stability conditions, but they provide ongoing control of groundwater levels and pore water pressure relief so their ongoing function should not be compromised by future works.

Typically these drains comprise punched draincoils surrounded by drainage gravel. Specific design details are provided in the project reports and specifications. If drain depths are unclear at specific locations, they can be estimated from the depths of fills depicted on the as-built plans.

4.2.2 Subsoil Drain Outlets

On lots where subsoil drainage discharges to bush it is important that the function of these outlets is maintained. Details of the locations are shown on the as built plans.

4.2.3 Groundwater

Groundwater levels beneath the engineered fills can be expected to be controlled by the underfill drains and should therefore typically be deeper than 2m, subject to seasonal variations.

In some areas of natural ground, counterfort drains have been installed to maintain suppressed groundwater levels. Based on our work to date we anticipate groundwater levels remaining well below the depth of influence of anticipated earthworks and foundation works for NZS 3604 type dwellings.

4.3 Road Subgrades

Penetration resistance testing was carried out on the road subgrades during construction and the results of this testing were forwarded to Hutchinson Consulting Engineers Limited for pavement remedial design. Where soft ground with low equivalent CBR values was identified it was generally undercut and hardfill was placed. All road subgrade areas were subsequently lime stabilised to achieve appropriate CBR values.

Benkelman Beam testing of the base course was carried out by Road Test Limited on each road and those results were also forwarded to Hutchinson Consulting Engineers.

4.4 Design of Shallow Foundations in Stable Building Areas

The as-built plans depict the extents of areas described as **Stable Building Areas**. They also depict the original Nominated Building Platforms from the Resource Consent and these typically fall within the final Stable Building Areas.

4.4.1 Bearing Capacity

Once bulk earthworks and top-soiling of the building platforms had been completed, our staff drilled hand auger boreholes on platforms in natural ground to determine representative finished ground conditions and hence evaluate likely foundation options for future building development. Our assessments of bearing capacity for the design of shallow foundations on each building platform are contained in our Opinion on Suitability in **Appendix A**.

If higher geotechnical ultimate bearing capacities are required than have been specified, further specific site investigation and design of foundations should be carried out prior to Building Consent application.

4.4.2 Foundation Settlements

At the bearing pressures specified in **Appendix A** and subject to the design requirements for soil expansiveness provided below, differential settlement of shallow foundations for buildings designed in accordance with NZS 3604 (including the 600mm subfloor fill depth limit) should be within code limits.

4.4.3 Soil Expansiveness Classification

Seasonal soil moisture variations within most clay-rich soils typically result in the soil swelling during winter months and then shrinking during summer months. These seasonal movements can cause issues such as cracking of concrete floors, brittle cladding and masonry walls or distortion of building frames causing doors and windows to jam from differential settlement. The effects are further compounded by local influences that worsen differential movements. These may include growth of high demand trees and shrubs that cause localised soil drying or either leaking pipes or tree root removal, leading to localised wetting.

The potential effects need to be managed in a combination of appropriate:

- classification of the level of risk
- design of foundations
- management of soil moisture conditions by contractors during construction

- management of landscaping and plantings by homeowners throughout a building's lifetime

Testing on 5 samples, one on each building platform within this stage of the development, was completed in accordance with the requirements of NZS 3604. All testing was completed by RoadTest Limited, a testing laboratory accredited by IANZ for the tests undertaken. Results are provided in **Appendix D** together with important additional information for landowners.

Results of our assessment for each lot are contained in our Statement of Opinion on Suitability of Land in **Appendix A**.

4.4.4 Site (Seismic) Class

Our assessments of NZS 1170.5 site Class(es) is provided in our Opinion of Suitability and the Summary Table, both in **Appendix A**.

4.4.5 Existing Fills (Lot 36 Stable Platform Area)

The eastern portions of the Lot 36 Stable Building Area were earthworked by others prior to the works described herein. The extent of the affected area is between PT03 to PT07 on this lot depicted on appended CMW Drawings 01 to 03. Retrospective investigations indicate shallow fills here that generally appear to have been placed to an engineering standard such as our fill specification. Nevertheless, we consider it prudent for any building subgrade and foundations in this area to be subject to further engineering inspection as described in the appended Opinion of Suitability in **Appendix A**.

4.5 Construction of Foundations in Stable Building Areas

4.5.1 Topsoil Depths on Stable Building Areas

Topsoil depths on Stable Building Areas have been checked by the drilling of a borehole in the approximate centre of the building platform on each lot. The results are considered indicative for each lot, but may be subject to variations. Topsoil depths are between 50 and 300mm on this stage of the development.

Site specific findings are contained in our Opinion on Suitability Summary in **Appendix A**. However, it is possible that further levelling works have been undertaken since our investigations and accordingly, we strongly recommend that lot purchasers complete their own checks of topsoil depths.

4.5.2 Brown Inorganic Soils

Beneath the clay soil mantle across the site, naturally occurring dark greyish brown deposits of inorganic Hukerenui soils from the Northland Allochthon Group are present. These deposits may be exposed beneath topsoil in areas that have been cut during the bulk earthworks, or may be included in engineered fills that have been placed. These are NOT topsoil and are suitable for foundations but may be difficult to distinguish from topsoil other than from their high clay content and absence of organic odour. If uncertainty exists, professional advice should be sought from an experienced geo-professional familiar with the contents of this report to avoid unnecessary construction delay and expense.

4.5.3 Soil Expansivity

Appendix D contains important additional recommendations for contractors for the appropriate preparation of subgrade areas prior to floor construction to minimise risks of shrinkage and swelling due to high soil expansivity.

4.6 On Site Effluent Disposal

The appended CMW Site Plan (drawing 01) shows areas outside Stable Building Areas that have been assessed by CMW Geosciences as being geotechnically suitable (stable) for surface mounted dripper lines for effluent disposal fields.

The total size of disposal area assigned on each lot complies with the requirements of the Resource Consent and was conservatively sized for low permeability soils. Site specific testing may provide beneficial results.

4.7 Stormwater Disposal

As mentioned in Section 4.1.2 above, surface stability can be severely compromised by indiscriminate disposal of concentrated stormwater onto the ground surface and/ or by removal of vegetation.

Building and landscape designers must ensure that all runoff from solid surfaces is directed into appropriately designed and located outlets to minimise these risks. It is also important that care is paid to the disposal of stormwater during construction so that concentrated discharges (e.g. from unconnected spouting) are not directed towards steep ground.

Appropriate locations for stormwater outlets are typically either:

- formed outlet structures with scour control located in gully inverts (the geotechnically preferred option), or
- engineered spreader bars located on low-gradient land, with appropriate downslope scour control

On this site, most of the lots contain gullies within Bush Covenant area that are suitable for private discharge of stormwater using the first option above. Stormwater disposal into the roadside swales is not permitted.

We have also nominated the most suitable location(s) on each lot for the design and installation of spreader bars for discharge of the private stormwater if desired. This design should be undertaken by an experienced civil engineer at the time of Building Consent, taking into account the anticipated disposal volumes from all solid surfaces, including water tank overflows and any overflows anticipated from swimming pools.

Nevertheless we recommend as part of the architectural and landscape design on these lots, that designers and owners consider methods to limit the extents of solid surface areas that lead to the need to collect and concentrate stormwater to an outfall, but rather consider use of permeable surfaces and surface water infiltration across broad areas as would occur in natural, undeveloped landforms.

5 CLOSURE

Additional important information regarding the use of your CMW report is provided in the 'Using your CMW Report' document attached to this report.

This report has been prepared for use by Cabra Rural Developments Limited / Rahopara Farms Limited in relation to the Rural subdivision Palliser downs STAGE 1a project in accordance with the scope, proposed uses and limitations described in the report. Should you have further questions relating to the use of your report please do not hesitate to contact us.

Although regular site visits have been undertaken for observation, for providing guidance and instruction and for testing purposes, the geotechnical services scope did not include full time site presence. To this end, our Opinion on Suitability in **Appendix A** and our Suitability Statement in **Appendix B** also rely on the Contractors' work practices and assumes that when we have not been present to observe the work, it has been completed to high standards and in accordance with the drawings, instructions and consent conditions provided to them.

Similarly, they assume that all as-built information and other details provided to the Client and/ or CMW by other members of the project team are accurate and correct in all respects.

Where a party other than Cabra Rural Developments Limited / Rahopara Farms Limited seeks to rely upon or otherwise use this report, the consent of CMW should be sought prior to any such use. CMW can then advise whether the report and its contents are suitable for the intended use by the other party.

USING YOUR CMW GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

Geotechnical reporting relies on interpretation of facts and collected information using experience, professional judgement, and opinion. As such it generally has a level of uncertainty attached to it, which is often far less exact than other engineering design disciplines. The notes below provide general advice on what can be reasonably expected from your report and the inherent limitations of a geotechnical report.

Preparation of your report

Your geotechnical report has been written for your use on your project. The contents of your report may not meet the needs of others who may have different objectives or requirements. The report has been prepared using generally accepted Geotechnical Engineering and Engineering Geology practices and procedures. The opinions and conclusions reached in your report are made in accordance with these accepted principles. Specific items of geotechnical or geological importance are highlighted in the report.

In producing your report, we have relied on the information which is referenced or summarised in the report. If further information becomes available or the nature of your project changes, then the findings in this report may no longer be appropriate. In such cases the report must be reviewed, and any necessary changes must be made by us.

Your geotechnical report is based on your project's requirements

Your geotechnical report has been developed based on your specific project requirements and only applies to the site in this report. Project requirements could include the type of works being undertaken; project locality, size and configuration; the location of any structures on or around the site; the presence of underground utilities; proposed design methodology; the duration or design life of the works; and construction method and/or sequencing.

The information or advice in your geotechnical report should not be applied to any other project given the intrinsic differences between different projects and site locations. Similarly geotechnical information, data and conclusions from other sites and projects may not be relevant or appropriate for your project.

Interpretation of geotechnical data

Site investigations identify subsurface conditions at discrete locations. Additional geotechnical information (e.g. literature and external data source review, laboratory testing etc) are interpreted by Geologists or Engineers to provide an opinion about a site specific ground models, their likely impact on the proposed development and recommended actions. Actual conditions may differ from those inferred to exist due to the variability of geological environments. The actual interface between materials may be far more gradual or abrupt than assumed based on the facts obtained. Nothing can be done to change the actual site conditions which exist, but steps can be taken to reduce the impact of unexpected conditions. Interpretation of factual data can be influenced by design and/or construction methods. Where these methods change review of the interpretation in the report may be required.

Subsurface conditions can change

Subsurface conditions are created by natural processes and then can be altered anthropically or over time. For example, groundwater levels can vary with time or activities adjacent to your site, fill may be placed on a site, or the consistency of near surface conditions might be susceptible to seasonal changes. The report is based on conditions which existed at the time of investigation. It is important to confirm whether conditions may have changed, particularly when large periods of time have elapsed since the investigations were performed.

Interpretation and use by other design professionals

Costly problems can occur when other design professionals develop their plans based on misinterpretations of a geotechnical report. To help avoid misinterpretations, it is important to retain the assistance of CMW to work with other project design professionals who are affected by the contents of your report. CMW staff can explain the report implications to design professionals and then review design plans and specifications to see that they have correctly incorporated the findings of this report.

Your report's recommendations require confirmation during construction

Your report is based on site conditions as revealed through selective point sampling. Engineering judgement is then applied to assess how indicative of actual conditions throughout an area the point sampling might be. Any assumptions made cannot be substantiated until construction is complete. For this reason, you should retain geotechnical services throughout the construction stage, to identify variances from previous assumption, conduct additional tests if required and recommend solutions to problems encountered on site.

A Geotechnical Engineer, who is fully familiar with the site and the background information, can assess whether the report's recommendations remain valid and whether changes should be considered as the project develops. An unfamiliar party using this report increases the risk that the report will be misinterpreted.

Environmental Matters Are Not Covered

Unless specifically discussed in your report environmental matters are not covered by a CMW Geotechnical Report. Environmental matters might include the level of contaminants present of the site covered by this report, potential uses or treatment of contaminated materials or the disposal of contaminated materials. These matters can be complex and are often governed by specific legislation.

The personnel, equipment, and techniques used to perform an environmental study can differ significantly from those used in this report. For that reason, our report does not provide environmental recommendations. Unanticipated subsurface environmental problems can have large consequences for your site. If you have not obtained your own environmental information about the project site, ask your CMW contact about how to find environmental risk-management guidance.

APPENDIX A: STATEMENT OF PROFESSIONAL OPINION ON SUITABILITY OF LAND FOR BUILDING CONSTRUCTION

STATEMENT OF PROFESSIONAL OPINION ON SUITABILITY OF LAND FOR BUILDING CONSTRUCTION

Development: Stage 1A of the Palliser Downs Development
Developer: Cabra Rural Developments Limited / Rahopara Farms Limited
Location: Palliser Downs Drive, Wainui

I, Richard Knowles, of CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited, Auckland, hereby confirm that:

1. As a Chartered Professional Engineer experienced in the field of geotechnical engineering, I am a Geoprotessional as defined in clause 1.2.2 of NZS 4404:2010 and was retained by the Developer as the geoprotessional on the above development.
2. The extent of analyses carried out to date are presented in **Appendix F**. The extent of my inspections during construction, and the results of all tests and/ or evaluations carried out are as described in my Geotechnical Completion Report dated February 2024.
3. My certification of the earth fills placed on this site is contained in **Appendix B**.
4. In my professional opinion, not to be construed as a guarantee, I consider that:
 - a. The completed earthworks take into account land slope and foundation stability considerations on the Stable Building Areas. Outside the Stable Building Areas the land contains **No Build Bush Covenant Zones** as depicted on the as-built plans. All other areas are **Specific Design Zones** and contain areas specified as suitable for effluent disposal fields. A stable accessway has been provided to each Stable Building Area.
 - b. No building construction and no earthworks (i.e. cut or fills of any depth) should take place within the designated **Specific Design Zone** areas unless endorsed by a Chartered Professional Engineer experienced in geomechanics and familiar with the contents of this report. The endorsement will need to consider the implications of the proposals on both global stability conditions and soil creep on the building buildings, control of surface water and temporary support requirements construction of all earthworks, foundations and retaining walls and if necessary, comment on what aspects require engineering inspections and certification.

This limitation also applies to long term landscaping works, including any proposed minor cuts either on or near batter toes to be retained by new landscaping walls that might not normally require engineering, and to landscaping fills on or immediately above the batter slopes.
 - c. **No Build Bush Covenant Zone** areas defined on Lots 33 to 37 inclusive on the as-built plans are designated no-build zones on the basis of potential for instability and/ or because of the presence of protected bush.

No building construction and no earthworks may take place in these areas other than as required for construction of stormwater outlets.
 - d. The function of the subsoil drains installed beneath Lots 33 and 35 inclusive as shown on the as-built plans must not be impaired by any building development or landscaping works. Any bored or driven piles must be positioned to avoid damaging the draincoils. Where any subsoil drain is intercepted by building works, it must be reinstated under the direction of a Chartered Professional Engineer to ensure the integrity of the subsoil drainage system.
 - e. The formed drainage outlets depicted on the as-built plans on Lot 33 must be kept free of debris and otherwise maintained as necessary to ensure their ongoing function.
 - f. On all Stable Building Platforms (i.e. lots 33 to 37 inclusive) a geotechnical ultimate bearing capacity of 300 kPa may be assumed for shallow foundation design on the building platforms.

- g. The site (seismic) subsoil class has been assessed in accordance with NZS1170.5:2004 Clause 3.1.3 from borelogs that included measurements of geotechnical properties. Our assessment is that the Stable Building Areas on lots 33 to 37 are all Class C- shallow soil.
- h. The eastern portions of the Lot 36 Stable Building Area were earthworked by others. The extent of the affected area is between PT03 to PT07 on this lot depicted on appended CMW Drawings 01 to 03. While retrospective investigations indicate shallow fills here that generally appear to have been placed to an engineering standard, we consider it prudent for any building subgrade and foundations in this area to be subject to inspection by a Chartered Professional Engineer to confirm its condition.
- i.

Assessment of Characteristic Surface Movements and Design Classes for NZS 3604 Compliant Buildings on Stable Building Platforms		
Lots	Assessed AS2870 Site Class / 300 Year Design Characteristic Surface Movement (Ys)	Anticipated Equivalent NZBC B1/AS1 Expansivity Class for Design / 500 Year Design Characteristic Surface Movement(Ys)
33 to 35	M (moderately reactive) / 40mm	M / 44mm
36 and 37	H1 (highly reactive) / 60mm	H / 78mm

B1/AS1 provides an Acceptable Solution through NZS 3604 for foundation design applying to a limited range of compliant building sizes, shapes and materials and only for concrete floor design with strip footings. In all other cases, NZS 3604 directs the use of AS2870 or a specific design.

If AS2870 is used for the design solution, it must be noted that the characteristic surface movements in that code apply to a (less conservative) 300 year return period drought while B1/AS1 provides for a 500 year return period drought.

Prior to the introduction of the B1/AS1 design information in November 2019, minimum foundation depths recommended as appropriate by geotechnical consultants in Auckland for shallow footing design under AS2870 were typically of the order of 600mm for Class M and 750mm for Class H1.

- j. On the basis of the earth fill certification and subject to the geotechnical limitations, restrictions and recommendations contained in clauses 4(a), 4(b), 4(c), 4(d), 4(e), 4(f), 4(g), 4(h) and 4(i) above, the filled and natural ground within **Stable Building Areas** is generally suitable for buildings constructed in accordance with NZS 3604 and the requirements of NZBC Clause B1/AS1 where appropriate. Alternatively, a specific foundation and structural design may be undertaken by a Chartered Professional Engineer.
 - k. As described in Section 4.7 of the Geotechnical Completion Report, stormwater disposal should be to formed outlets in gully areas that are typically located in the Bush Covenant Areas, or to engineer designed spreader bars in the locations nominated on the CMW Site Plan, **Drawing 01**. The design must consider anticipated future flows and appropriate scour/ erosion controls.
5. Road subgrades have been formed with appropriate regard for slope stability and settlement risks.

The following table summarises the conditions on each of the Stable Building Areas.

For and on behalf of CMW Geosciences



Richard Knowles

Principal Geotechnical Engineer CMEngNZ, CPEng

SOPO Summary Table									
Condition	Specific Design Zone (slope)	Bush Covenant / No Build Zone	Subsoil Drains Present	On-site Drainage Outlet Present	Geotechnical Ultimate Bearing Capacity (kPa)	NZS 1170.5 Site (seismic) Class	Subgrade Inspection due to existing fills	AS2870 Expansive Class	Indicative Topsoil Depth (mm)
Lot Number (Stable Building Area)	GCR SOPO Clause								
	4(b)	4(c)	4(d)	4(e)	4(f)	4(g)	4(h)	4(i)	
33	✓	✓	✓	✓	300	C		M	200
34	✓	✓			300	C		M	300
35	✓	✓	✓		300	C		M	200
36	✓	✓			300	C	✓ part only	H1	200
37	✓	✓			300	C		H1	300

APPENDIX B: STATEMENT OF SUITABILITY OF ENGINEERED FILL FOR LIGHTWEIGHT STRUCTURES

STATEMENT OF SUITABILITY OF ENGINEERED FILLS FOR LIGHTWEIGHT STRUCTURES

To: Auckland Council
Development: Stage 1A of the Palliser Downs Development
Land Title(s): Lot 1 DP 556774, Lot 2 DP 556774
Location: Palliser Downs Drive, Wainui
Resource Consent Nos: BUN60069542
Developer: Cabra Rural Developments Limited / Rahopara Farms Limited
Geotechnical Designer: Richard Knowles of CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited
Certifier: Richard Knowles of CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited

This Statement of Suitability is provided as an appendix to the CMW Geosciences Geotechnical Completion Report referenced in the page footer below, that also contains all as-built plans, test results and test inspection records relevant to the work completed.



1. I, Richard Knowles, confirm that I am qualified as a certifier as defined in NZS4431:2022.
2. During this work, I was retained as certifier and I or my certifier's representative undertook inspections and testing as documented in the Geotechnical Completion Report.
3. I am satisfied that the engineered fill shown in the attached as-built survey was placed, compacted and tested in accordance with the attached specification and that all variations and non-compliances have been documented in the Geotechnical Completion report.
4. Based on the information available, I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the intent of the geotechnical designer has been achieved.
5. This certification does not remove the necessity for normal inspection and design of foundations as would be made in natural ground.

For and on behalf of CMW Geosciences



Richard Knowles
Principal Geotechnical Engineer CMEngNZ, CPEng

APPENDIX C: AS-BUILT DRAWINGS



ENG60397250

I certify that these Asbuilt Plans are an accurate record of the works undertaken and that:
-The coordinates (x, y) are in terms of NZTM on NZGD2000 and are within ±50mm
-The levels (z) are in terms of Auckland Vertical Datum 1946 and are within the following tolerances:
- for all pipe inverts & roadside channels to be within ±10mm (local circuit ie. internal / relative consistency required only)
- for all other assets ±20mm (eg. manhole covers, earthworks)



Name Rick Bull
Signed *Rick Bull*
Registered Professional Surveyor
Registration Number 341 Date 01/03/2024
Contact Phone 0274 902 879 Email rick@crsurveyors.co.nz

Legend			
	Boundary		Fence
	Covenant		Mulched Area
	Road		Planted Area
	Major Contour (1m Interval)		Drain
	Minor Contour (0.5m Interval)		Culvert
	Top of Bank		Subsoil Drain
	Bottom of Bank		Cesspit
	Right of Way		Right of Way

C & R SURVEYORS LTD
Registered Professional Land Surveyors
PO Box 564 Orewa 0946 Phone (09) 426 4051 www.crsurveyors.co.nz

Client: **Cabra Rural Developments & Rahopara Farms Ltd**
Palliser Downs Drive - Wainui

Drawing Title: Asbuilt - Drainage & Accessway Stormwater			
Original Size: A3	Original Scale: 1:500	Date: 01/03/2024	Job Number: 7723 - Rev 4



ENG60397250

I certify that these Asbuilt Plans are an accurate record of the works undertaken and that:
 -The coordinates (x, y) are in terms of NZTM on NZGD2000 and are within ±50mm
 -The levels (z) are in terms of Auckland Vertical Datum 1946 and are within the following tolerances:
 - for all pipe inverts & roadside channels to be within ±10mm (local circuit ie. internal / relative consistency required only)
 - for all other assets ±20mm (eg. manhole covers, earthworks)

Name Rick Bull
 Signed [Signature]
 Registered Professional Surveyor
 Registration Number 341 Date 01/03/2024
 Contact Phone 0274 902 879 Email rick@crsurveyors.co.nz

Legend			
	Boundary		Fence
	Covenant		Mulched Area
	Road		Planted Area
	Major Contour (1m Interval)		Drain
	Minor Contour (0.5m Interval)		Culvert
	Top of Bank		Subsoil Drain
	Bottom of Bank		Cesspit
			Right of Way



C & R SURVEYORS LTD
 Registered Professional Land Surveyors
 PO Box 564 Orewa 0946 Phone (09) 426 4051 www.crsurveyors.co.nz

Client: **Cabra Rural Developments & Rahopara Farms Ltd**
Palliser Downs Drive - Wainui



Drawing Title: Asbuilt - Contour Plan			
Original Size: A3	Original Scale: 1:1500	Date: 01/03/2024	Job Number: 7723 - Rev 4



Earthworks Notes

Area of Earthworks:
 Total Area: 69977m²
 Cut Area: 33795m²
 Fill Area: 34222m²
















Volume of Earthworks:
 Cut: 29375m³
 Fill: 27030m³

Cut and fill volumes and levels are based from existing surface (after earthworks) to original surface

 Cut Area
 Fill Area



Legend

 Boundary	 Fence
 Covenant	 Mulched Area
 Road	 Planted Area
 Major Contour (1m Interval)	 Drain
 Minor Contour (0.5m Interval)	 Culvert
 Top of Bank	 Subsoil Drain
 Bottom of Bank	 Cesspit
	 Right of Way


ENG60397250

I certify that these Asbuilt Plans are an accurate record of the works undertaken and that:

- The coordinates (x, y) are in terms of NZTM on NZGD2000 and are within ±50mm
- The levels (z) are in terms of Auckland Vertical Datum 1946 and are within the following tolerances:

- for all pipe inverts & roadside channels to be within ±10mm (local circuit ie. internal / relative consistency required only)
- for all other assets ±20mm (eg. manhole covers, earthworks)

Name Rick Bull

Signed 
Registered Professional Surveyor

Registration Number 341 Date 01/03/2024

Contact Phone 0274 902 879 Email rick@crsurveyors.co.nz



C & R SURVEYORS LTD
 Registered Professional Land Surveyors


PO Box 564
 Orewa 0946

Phone (09) 426 4051
 www.crsurveyors.co.nz


Client: **Cabra Rural Developments & Rahopara Farms Ltd**
Palliser Downs Drive - Wainui

Drawing Title: **Cut to Fill Contour Plan**

Original Size: A3	Original Scale: 1:1500	Date: 01/03/2024	Job Number: 7723 - Rev 4
----------------------	---------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------



New Public SWMH (Scruffy Dome)
 1050ø
 1741815.81mE
 5949184.42mN
 LL: 57.84m
 IL: 55.35m
 DTI: 2.49m



Culvert 9: 900mm Ø RCRRJ culvert with wingwalls, 25.4m long @ 0.3% gradient

Culvert 5: 375mm Ø RCRRJ culvert, 17.3m long @ 1.0% gradient

Culvert 7: 450mm Ø RCRRJ culvert, 23.5m long @ 1.1% gradient

Culvert 6: 375mm Ø RCRRJ culvert, 16.9m long @ 1.2% gradient

New Public SWMH (Scruffy Dome)
 1050ø
 1741563.22mE
 5949055.46mN
 LL: 68.15m
 IL: 67.07m
 DTI: 1.08m

New Public SWMH (Scruffy Dome)
 1050ø
 1741718.66mE
 5949114.14mN
 LL: 65.30m
 IL: 64.44m
 DTI: 0.86m

Culvert 8: 675mm Ø RCRRJ culvert with wingwalls, 25.4m long @ 0.3% gradient

New Public SWMH (Scruffy Dome)
 1050ø
 1741643.64mE
 5949066.29mN
 LL: 66.79m
 IL: 65.94m
 DTI: 0.85m

ENG60397250

I certify that these Asbuilt Plans are an accurate record of the works undertaken and that:
 -The coordinates (x, y) are in terms of NZTM on NZGD2000 and are within ±50mm
 -The levels (z) are in terms of Auckland Vertical Datum 1946 and are within the following tolerances:
 - for all pipe inverts & roadside channels to be within ±10mm (local circuit ie. internal / relative consistency required only)
 - for all other assets ±20mm (eg. manhole covers, earthworks)


Name Rick Bull
 Signed [Signature]
 Registered Professional Surveyor
 Registration Number 341 Date 01/03/2024
 Contact Phone 0274 902 879 Email rick@crsurveyors.co.nz

Legend			
	Boundary		Fence
	Covenant		Mulched Area
	Road		Planted Area
	Major Contour (1m Interval)		Drain
	Minor Contour (0.5m Interval)		Culvert
	Top of Bank		Subsoil Drain
	Bottom of Bank		Cesspit
			Right of Way


C & R SURVEYORS LTD
 Registered Professional Land Surveyors
 PO Box 564 Orewa 0946 Phone (09) 426 4051 www.crsurveyors.co.nz

Client: **Cabra Rural Developments & Rahopara Farms Ltd**
Palliser Downs Drive - Wainui

Drawing Title: Asbuilt - Drainage Road 2 Stormwater			
Original Size: A3	Original Scale: 1:1500	Date: 01/03/2024	Job Number: 7723 - Rev 4



Road 2: 7.0m wide carriageway with 40mm thick layer DG 10 asphaltic concrete on single coat grade 4 membrane seal over 250mm AP40 (M/4) basecourse and 8.0m wide x 300mm compacted depth stabilised subgrade modified with 3.0% lime (16kg/m²) and 1.0% cement (5kg/m²)



Legend	
	Boundary
	Covenant
	Road
	Major Contour (1m Interval)
	Minor Contour (0.5m Interval)
	Top of Bank
	Bottom of Bank
	Fence
	Mulched Area
	Planted Area
	Drain
	Culvert
	Subsoil Drain
	Cesspit
	Right of Way

150mm thick 25 MPa concrete JOAL access way. 4kg/m² black oxide & exposed surface reinforced with 147 mesh (665 equivalent) 50mm top cover on 150mm compacted depth of GAP40 on modified subgrade. Width 5.5m

ENG60397250


I certify that these Asbuilt Plans are an accurate record of the works undertaken and that:
 -The coordinates (x, y) are in terms of NZTM on NZGD2000 and are within ±50mm
 -The levels (z) are in terms of Auckland Vertical Datum 1946 and are within the following tolerances:
 - for all pipe inverts & roadside channels to be within ±10mm (local circuit ie. internal / relative consistency required only)
 - for all other assets ±20mm (eg. manhole covers, earthworks)

Name Rick Bull
 Signed
 Registered Professional Surveyor
 Registration Number 341 Date 01/03/2024
 Contact Phone 0274 902 879 Email rick@crsurveyors.co.nz




C & R SURVEYORS LTD
 Registered Professional Land Surveyors
 PO Box 564 Orewa 0946 Phone (09) 426 4051 www.crsurveyors.co.nz

Client: **Cabra Rural Developments & Rahopara Farms Ltd**
Palliser Downs Drive - Wainui

Drawing Title: Asbuilt - Roading Plan			
Original Size: A3	Original Scale: 1:1500	Date: 01/03/2024	Job Number: 7723 - Rev 4



LEGEND:


-  OPTIONAL SPREADER BAR
-  PROPOSED STABLE BUILDING AREA
-  PROPOSED EFFLUENT DISPOSAL AREA

NOTES:




1. BASE PLAN ADAPTED FROM AUCKLAND CITY COUNCIL GEOMAPS



CLIENT:	CABRA RURAL DEVELOPMENTS LTD	DRAWN:	GB	PROJECT:	AKL2019-0017
PROJECT:	PALLISER DOWNS, STAGE 3	CHECKED:	RK	DRAWING:	01
TITLE:	SITE PLAN	REVISION:	0	SCALE:	1:2000
		DATE:	13/02/2024	SHEET:	A3 L



LEGEND:

-  OPTIONAL SPREADER BAR
-  PROPOSED STABLE BUILDING AREA SETOUT POINTS
-  PROPOSED EFFLUENT DISPOSAL AREA

NOTES:

1. SEE SHEET 03 FOR SETOUT COORDINATE TABLE



CLIENT:	CABRA RURAL DEVELOPMENTS LTD	DRAWN:	GB	PROJECT:	AKL2019-0017
PROJECT:	PALLISER DOWNS, STAGE 3	CHECKED:	RK	DRAWING:	02
TITLE:	PLAN - STABLE BUILDING AREAS	REVISION:	0	SCALE:	1:2000
		DATE:	13/02/2024	SHEET:	A3 L

STABLE BUILDING AREA COORDINATE TABLE (NZTM)			
LOT REF	POINT REF	EASTING	NORTHING
LOT 33	PT01	1741837.954	5949034.814
- -	PT02	1741862.364	5949005.975
- -	PT03	1741865.127	5948986.916
- -	PT04	1741844.628	5948981.417
- -	PT05	1741826.545	5948980.896
- -	PT06	1741812.665	5949002.190
LOT 34	PT01	1741795.772	5949158.265
- -	PT02	1741819.755	5949143.528
- -	PT03	1741840.107	5949118.428
- -	PT04	1741816.915	5949111.420
- -	PT05	1741767.325	5949088.007
- -	PT06	1741732.286	5949121.337
LOT 35	PT01	1741588.696	5949028.642
- -	PT02	1741659.502	5949041.804
- -	PT03	1741666.653	5949029.977
- -	PT04	1741653.514	5948999.926
- -	PT05	1741625.812	5948996.627
- -	PT06	1741594.724	5949008.530
LOT 36	PT01	1741500.686	5948957.350
- -	PT02	1741520.482	5948947.624
- -	PT03	1741532.750	5948935.264
- -	PT04	1741584.197	5948940.648
- -	PT05	1741577.716	5948920.648
- -	PT06	1741569.061	5948921.620
LOT 36	PT07	1741556.810	5948918.788
- -	PT08	1741523.204	5948904.671
- -	PT09	1741479.139	5948902.381
- -	PT10	1741492.674	5948921.742
LOT 37	PT01	1741715.612	5949077.664
- -	PT02	1741738.685	5949068.166
- -	PT03	1741737.298	5949047.577
- -	PT04	1741716.492	5949030.139
- -	PT05	1741696.189	5949037.923
- -	PT06	1741684.511	5949055.422

NOTES:

- SEE SHEET 02 FOR SETOUT COORDINATE REFERENCES



CLIENT:	CABRA RURAL DEVELOPMENTS LTD	DRAWN:	GB	PROJECT:	AKL2019-0017
PROJECT:	PALLISER DOWNS, STAGE 3	CHECKED:	RK	DRAWING:	03
TITLE:	STABLE BUILDING AREA COORDS TABLE	REVISION:	0	SCALE:	NTS
		DATE:	13/02/2024	SHEET:	A3 L

APPENDIX D: SOIL EXPANSIVITY

1 INTRODUCTION

Seasonal soil moisture variations within most clay-rich soils typically result in the soil swelling during winter months and then shrinking during summer months. These seasonal movements can cause issues such as cracking of concrete floors, brittle cladding and masonry walls or distortion of building frames causing doors and windows to jam from differential settlement. The effects are further compounded by local influences that worsen differential movements. These may include growth of high demand trees and shrubs that cause localised soil drying or either leaking pipes or tree root removal, leading to localised wetting.

The potential effects need to be managed in a combination of appropriate:

- classification of the level of risk (refer to Sections 2 to 4)
- design of foundations (refer to Section 4)
- management of soil moisture conditions by contractors during construction (refer to Section 5)
- management of landscaping and plantings by homeowners throughout a building's lifetime (refer to Section 6)

2 CLASSIFICATION METHODS AND REFERENCES

SOIL EXPANSIVITY			
Project:	Palliser Downs Stage 1A Rural Subdivision	Job Number:	AKL2019-0017AF Appendix D
Site Address:	Palliser Downs Drive, Wainui	Client:	Cabra Rural Developments Ltd & Rahopara Farms Ltd
Prepared by:	Richard Knowles	Reviewed by:	Chris Ritchie



References		
Reference	Test Methods Specified	Potential Assessment Outcomes
NZS3604-2011 <i>Timber Framed Buildings</i>	(Refer to "Definitions – Good Ground") Liquid Limit (LL) and Linear Shrinkage (LS) (NZS4402-1986 Test 2.2 and 2.6)	"Good Ground" OR Not "Good Ground" = LL>50 and LS>15
AS2870-2011 <i>Residential Slabs and Footings</i>	(Refer to Clause 2.3.2) Shrink-Swell Indices (AS1289 Tests 7.1.1 to 7.1.3), OR Correlation with other clay index tests, OR Visual-tactile ID by a qualified person	(Refer to Table 2.3 and Section 3) Classes S, M, H1, H2, E with associated characteristic ground movements and design solutions for 300 year return period drought.
BRANZ Report SR120A (2008) <i>Soil Expansivity in the Auckland Region</i>	Shrink-Swell Indices (AS1289 Tests 7.1.1 to 7.1.3) Recommended soil suction profile given	Use of AS2870 Classes
NZBC Acceptable Solution B1/AS1 (from Nov 2019) Applied amendments to the wording of NZS3604 to cover a method for a simple building form.	(Clause 7.5.13) Specific requirements for the Acceptable Solution for Simple Buildings: Enquiry at local TA, and/ or a Cert. of Suitability per NZS4431, and/ or Soil tests by a qualified Engineer (Clause 7.5.13.1.2) Soil tests are: Shrink-Swell Indices (AS1289 Tests 7.1.1 to 7.1.3)	Provides an Acceptable Solution for only a limited range of NZS3604 building sizes, shapes and materials on expansive soils. The provided acceptable design solution is only for a concrete slab with perimeter foundation. Classes S, M, H and E. and Characteristic ground movement limits based on a 500 year return period

<p>Auckland Council Code of Practice for Land development and Subdivision (Chapter 2, version 2, May 2023) (ACCoPs)</p>	<p>(Clause 2.5.2) Moisture Content (MC), Liquid Limit (LL), Plastic Limit (PL) (NZS4402-1986 Tests 2.1 to 2.4) plotted on plasticity chart (Plasticity Index, PI=LL-PL vs LL)</p>	<p>Use of NZBC B1/AS1 for foundation design. Any other specific design method to require Auckland Council or external review</p>
<p>Notes: Liquid Limit test can be replicated by Cone Penetration Limit (CPL) Test, NZS4402-1986 Test 2.5. Assessments using shrink-swell indices have been found to be unreliable in the Auckland context and are therefore not favoured in ACCoPs B1/AS1 converted characteristic surface movements from 300 years in AS2870 to 500 years by multiplying values by 1.11. B1/AS1 did not alter the NSZ 3604 "Good Ground" definition and did not repeal NZS3604 Informative Section 17 on expansive soils.</p>		

3 TESTING REGIME

Testing on 6 samples (1 from each Stage 1A lot plus one from future lot 44) was completed in accordance with the requirements of NZS 3604. All testing was completed by RoadTest Limited, a testing laboratory accredited by IANZ for the tests undertaken. Results are provided below.

ROADTEST LTD

Revision: 1

DETERMINATION OF THE WATER CONTENT, CONE PENETRATION LIMIT & LINEAR SHRINKAGE
TEST METHOD NZS 4402 : 1986 TEST 2.1, 2.5 & 2.6

Project Name : **Palliser Downs** Project No : 23 0001 39
 Client : CMW Geosciences Page : 1 of 1
 Address : PO Box 300206 Date of Order : 30.06.23
 Albany, Auckland 0754
 Attention : Conor L Sample Method : Hand auger
 Sample Date : 27.06.23
 Sampled By : CMW Geosciences

Test Details : Test performed on : Whole Sample
 History : Natural

Sample No.	Location	Depth (m)	Cone Penetration (CPL)	Linear Shrinkage (LS)	Natural Water Content (%)
963Q	HA02	0.4 - 0.8	86	22	44.6
964Q	HA04	0.4 - 0.8	73	18	44.3
965Q	HA08	0.4 - 0.8	83	19	43.2
966Q	HA08	0.4 - 0.8	87	17	45.8
967Q	HA10	0.4 - 0.8	66	16	31.4
968Q	HA11	0.4 - 0.8	53	13	32.6

Comments :

Tested By: HC / DT Date : 03.07.23
 Calculated By: HC Date : 11.07.23
 Checked By: HC Date : 12.07.23

Figure 1: Laboratory Test Results.

4 ASSESSMENT OF TEST RESULTS

The testing confirms that:

- All of the soils tested on Stage 1A were expansive in terms of the NZS 3604 definition and were therefore outside the definition of “good ground”.

Assessment of Characteristic Surface Movements and Design Classes for NZS 3604 Compliant Buildings on Stable Building Platforms		
Lots	Assessed AS2870 Site Class / 300 Year Design Characteristic Surface Movement (Ys)	Anticipated Equivalent NZBC B1/AS1 Expansivity Class for Design / 500 Year Design Characteristic Surface Movement (Ys)
33 to 35	M (moderately reactive) / 40mm	M / 44mm
36 and 37	H1 (highly reactive) / 60mm	H / 78mm

B1/AS1 provides an Acceptable Solution through NZS 3604 for foundation design applying to a limited range of compliant building sizes, shapes and materials and only for concrete floor design with strip footings. In all other cases, NZS 3604 directs the use of AS2870 or a specific design.

If AS2870 is used for the design solution, it must be noted that the characteristic surface movements in that code apply to a (less conservative) 300 year return period drought while B1/AS1 provides for a 500 year return period drought.

Prior to the introduction of the B1/AS1 design information in November 2019, minimum foundation depths recommended as appropriate by geotechnical consultants in Auckland for shallow footing design under AS2870 were typically of the order of 600mm for Class M and 750mm for Class H1.

5 SITE PREPARATION DURING CONSTRUCTION

Foundation contractors need to be aware of the extreme damage potentially caused by expansive soils and the imperativeness of maintaining optimum moisture contents in all footing excavations and across building platform subgrades between the time of excavation and the pouring of concrete. Pouring foundations on dry, desiccated ground in summer months can lead to heaving and cracking, requiring extensive repairs or even complete house re-builds. Similarly, where perimeter foundations have been treated but floor slabs have been poured on dry ground, infiltration of moisture via pipe bedding can lead to localised heave, uplift and significant slab damage.

Remedial actions that may be appropriate include combinations of platform protection with a hard fill layer, pouring of a blinding layer of concrete in footing bases and soaking of the building platform with sprinklers for an extended period.

6 SITE MAINTENANCE AND LANDSCAPING

Landowners must be mindful of the potential impacts of planting or removal of high water demand plants. Where their roots may extend close to footings (i.e. within a lateral distance of 1.5 times the mature tree height), these actions can lead to significant settlement or heave damage.

For a comprehensive understanding of the potential effects of expansive soils, maintenance recommendations and vegetation management information, we strongly recommend that land owners obtain a copy of CSIRO publication BTF 18 (Foundation Maintenance and Footing Performance – A Homeowners Guide) that is available online.

APPENDIX E: FIELD TEST DATA



LF11 Rev.15 Soil Field Density NDM Direct Transmission with VSS Report (Cohesive Soils)

Auckland Laboratory
 CMW Geosciences (NZ) Ltd Partnership
 11/63, Arrenway Drive, Rosedale, NZ 0632
 PO Box 300206, Albany, Auckland, NZ 0752
 Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632

Project: Palliser Downs
Project No: AKL2019-0017
Location: Wainui
Report No: AKL2019-0017LAA Rev.1
Report Date: 6/03/2024
Client: Cabra Rural Developments Limited
Client Address: 3 Alice Ave, Orewa 0931

Test Methods: NZS 4407 2015 Test 3.1 0
 NZS 4407 2015 Test 4.2
 NZGS: August 2001

Notes: Solid Density: Assumed
 Solid Density Data Source: N/A
 Testing Locations Selected By: CMW Field Staff
 Only samples <2.0mm will be considered for endorsed testing
 ① Blade size of 19mm used.



Test results indicated as not accredited are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation

Measurements marked * are not accredited and are outside the scope of the laboratories accreditation


Date Sampled	Sample No.	Test Location*		Soil Description*	Solid Density (t/m ³) *	Vane ID		In-situ Vane Shear Strengths					Field and Laboratory Testing Data								Comments			
		Test Site	RL/Details			Head #	Blade # ①	Test 1 (kPa)	Test 2 (kPa)	Test 3 (kPa)	Test 4 (kPa)	Ave.	Gauge Wet Density (t/m ³) **	Gauge Dry Density (t/m ³)	Gauge Water Content (%)	Gauge Air Voids (%)	Gauge Probe Depth (mm)	Oven Water Content (%)	Oven Dry Density (t/m ³)	Oven Calculated Air Voids (%) *				
4/04/2022	N1	Undercut filling	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	84	135	113	138	118	1.72	1.19	44.5	3	300							
	N2	Undercut filling	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	154	206	235	154	187	1.84	1.38	33.7	2	300	27.7	1.44	7				
8/04/2022	N3	Road 2	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	151	145	154	171	155	1.75	1.22	43.9	2	300	40.1	1.25	4				
	N4	Road 2	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	154	148	138	196	159	1.86	1.40	33.4	2	300	30.9	1.42	3				
11/04/2022	N5	Road 2	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	235	235	235	235	235	1.75	1.26	39.0	5	300	40.8	1.24	4				
29/04/2022	N6	Road 2	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	235	132	193	203	191	1.78	1.20	47.7	-2	300	45.4	1.22	-1				
	N7	Road 2	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	209	235	193	203	210	1.76	1.25	41.5	2	300	40.5	1.26	3				
3/05/2022	N8	Road 2	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	235	235	219	203	223	1.77	1.27	39.5	3	300	37.0	1.29	4				
2/05/2022	N9	Road 2	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	158	171	171	200	175	1.87	1.39	34.2	1	300	34.1	1.39	1				
4/05/2022	N10	Road 2	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	235	235	235	219	231	1.82	1.35	34.5	3	300	31.7	1.38	5				
12/05/2022	N11	Pond	-200mm	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	106	122	100	100	107	1.79	1.31	36.9	3	300	37.2	1.31	3				
	N12	Pond	+1000mm	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	126	161	154	138	145	1.82	1.34	35.8	3	300	43.7	1.26	-2				
	N13	Pond	+1000mm	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	135	138	235	235	186	1.83	1.41	29.3	6	300	-	-	-			No sample taken	
25/05/2022	N14	Culvert fill	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	106	109	97	100	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	No sample taken
	N15	Culvert fill	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	122	132	138	154	137	1.75	1.26	39.4	4	300	40.2	1.25	4				
	N16	Culvert fill	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	203	206	154	206	192	1.75	1.19	46.8	0	300	39.7	1.25	4				
26/05/2022	N17	Culvert 1	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	206	119	64	119	127	1.77	1.24	42.9	1	300						No sample taken	
	N18	Culvert 1	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	138	206	235	235	204	1.80	1.38	29.8	7	300	28.9	1.39	8				
27/10/2022	N20	Lot 34 Undercut 1st half	+1000mm	CLAY	2.70	2080	2080	140	142	145	151	145	1.84	1.40	31.7	4	300	28.5	1.43	6				
29/10/2022	N21	Lot 34 Batter edge/HP edge	+500mm	CLAY	2.70	2080	2080	140	155	145	140	145	1.86	1.38	35.1	1	300	30.0	1.43	4				
7/11/2022	N22	Lot 34 Undercut area 2	+800mm	CLAY	2.70	2992	2992	155	155	141	124	144	1.82	1.38	31.4	5	300	30.4	1.39	6				
16/11/2022	N23	Lot 34	-	CLAY	2.70	1824	1824	123	112	95	137	117	1.90	1.44	31.5	1	300	-	-	-			No sample taken	
29/11/2022	N27	Lot 34	-	CLAY Fill	2.70	1195	1195	160	156	139	189	161	1.79	1.36	30.9	7	300	30.1	1.37	8				
	N28	Lot 34	-	CLAY Fill	2.70	1195	1195	160	174	186	203	181	1.83	1.36	34.3	3	300	32.9	1.38	4				
23/02/2023	N48	Lot 33	-700mm	CLAY Fill	2.70	2992	2992	197	183	141	155	169	1.88	1.38	36.2	-1	300	27.8	1.47	5				
5/03/2023	N53	Road 2	+600mm	ENG CLAY Fill	2.70	2080	2080	151	161	158	165	159	1.77	1.25	41.1	2	300	40.1	1.26	3				
13/03/2023	N54	Lot 33 BP edge	+1000mm	ENG CLAY Fill	2.70	2080	2080	140	140	140	140	140	1.80	1.31	36.8	3	300	35.2	1.33	4				

This report should only be reproduced in full.

** Gauge Wet Densities outside of the calibrated range of 1.754 to 2.611 t/m³ are not accredited and are outside the laboratories scope of accreditation.

This report replaces reports numbered AKL2019-0017LAA Rev.0 - Master Site Plan updated, no change to point locations.

Created By: JLM Date: 11/04/2022
 Checked By: JP Date: 6/03/2024
 Authorised Signatory (KTP): JLM Date: 6/03/2024



DECANTING EARTH BUND 1 NOTES:

- DECANTING EARTH BUND (DEB) AS PER GD05 FIGURE 79
- CATCHMENT AREA: 5100m²
- TOTAL DESIGN VOLUME: 120m³
- DEAD STORAGE VOLUME: 36m³
- LIVE STORAGE VOLUME: 84m³
- BASE OF POND RL: 57.50m
- DECANT IL: 57.80m
- PRIMARY SPILLWAY RL: 58.50m
- EMERGENCY SPILLWAY RL: 58.6m
- TOP OF EMERGENCY SPILLWAY BUND RL: 58.85m (LEVELS APPROX. BASED ON TOPO SURVEY MAY BE ADJUSTED ON-SITE TO SUITE FINAL STRIP LEVELS)

SEDIMENT RETENTION POND 4 NOTES:

- 300m³ REQUIRED TOTAL STORAGE VOLUME FOR 7700m² CONTRIBUTING CATCHMENT WITH 3% STORAGE.
- TO BE CONSTRUCTED WITH FOREBAY AND EROSION CONTROL FLOC BOX OR SIMILAR TO BE USED FOR FLOCCULATION.
- SINGLE T BAR DECANT TO BE USED AS PER AUCKLAND COUNCIL GD05 STANDARDS.
- TYPICAL POND DIMENSIONS: 40m LONG x 8m WIDE x 1.50m TOTAL DEPTH.
- REFER DRAWING EW-120 FOR DETAILS.

SEDIMENT RETENTION POND 5 NOTES:

- 142m³ REQUIRED TOTAL STORAGE VOLUME FOR 7100m² CONTRIBUTING CATCHMENT WITH 2% STORAGE.
- TO BE CONSTRUCTED WITH FOREBAY AND EROSION CONTROL FLOC BOX OR SIMILAR TO BE USED FOR FLOCCULATION.
- SINGLE T BAR DECANT TO BE USED AS PER AUCKLAND COUNCIL GD05 STANDARDS.
- TYPICAL POND DIMENSIONS: 21m LONG x 7m WIDE x 1.50m TOTAL DEPTH.
- REFER DRAWING EW-121 FOR DETAILS.

EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES:

1. EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL IN ACCORDANCE WITH GD05 AND TO BE CONFIRMED ON-SITE.
2. EARTHWORKS TO BE COMPLETED DURING PERIODS OF FINE DRY WEATHER WHERE POSSIBLE.
3. EXPOSED SUBGRADE TO BE LIMITED AND PROGRESSIVE STABILISATION TO BE IMPLEMENTED WHERE POSSIBLE.
4. EARTHWORK AREAS OUTSIDE OF EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL STAGES INVOLVING MINOR EARTHWORKS AND WILL BE COMPLETED UTILISING A CUT AND COVER METHODOLOGY. ANY EXPOSED SUBGRADE WILL BE SIMULTANEOUSLY STABILISED WITH METAL OR TOPSOILED, GRASS SEEDING AND HESSIAN MATTING PINNED.
5. REFER DRAWING EW-117 - EW-120 FOR SEDIMENT CONTROL DETAILS.

EXISTING FARM CULVERTS TO BE UTILISED TO CONVEY CLEAN WATER THROUGH SITE DURING EARTHWORKS OPERATIONS. FARM CULVERTS TO BE UPGRADED DURING ROADING CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH HUTCHINSON EPA PLANS

LEGEND

- EXISTING CONTOUR (MAJOR)
- EXISTING CONTOUR (MINOR)
- - - DIRTY WATER DIVERSION
- - - CLEAN WATER DIVERSION
- ▭ SEDIMENT RETENTION POND
- ▭ DECANTING EARTH BUND
- ▭ SUPER SILT FENCE
- ▭ DROP-OUT PIT
- - - EXTENT OF SITE WORKS
- ▭ STABILISED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS
- ▭ PROPOSED EFFLUENT DISPOSAL AREAS

E	EDA AREAS ADDED	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
D	SRP 4 & SILT FENCE CATCHMENTS CLOSED UP	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
C	DEB 1 DROP OUT PIT & DRIVEABLE BUNDS ADDED, EXISTING CULVERTS TO BE UTILISED FOR CLEAN WATER	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
B	DEB 4 UPDATED TO SEDIMENT POND 5	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
A	SEDIMENT CONTROL DETAILS REVISED	MS	PF	IH	FEB 2022
No.	Revision	Drawn	Chk.	Appd.	Date

Hutchinson
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 PO Box 150, Orawa 9046
 154 Centenary Road, Orawa 9031
 Ph: 09 426 8702 www.hc.co.nz

Design: M. SYMONS FEB 2022
 Drawn: M. SYMONS FEB 2022
 Checked: P. FARLEY FEB 2022
 Approved: I. HUTCHINSON FEB 2022
 Scale: 1:1250 @ A3
 Scale vert. exag.

ENG60397250 / VIJAY.K
 Approved Engineering Plan
 09/09/2022

CMW Geosciences
 Great People | Practical Solutions


Project: ABRA RURAL DEVELOPMENTS & AHOPARA FARMS LTD
 PALLISER DOWNS STAGE 2 (2021)
 PALLISER DOWNS DRIVE
 WAINUI
 ENGINEERING PLAN APPROVAL

Title	ROAD 2 EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	Sheet No.	EW-115
Job No.	A3-24066 EPA	Rev.	E



LF14 Rev.13 Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCP) Test Report

NZS 4402: 1988 Test 6.5.2

Project:	Palliser Downs	Auckland Laboratory
Project No:	AKL2019-0017	CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited
Location:	Wainui	11/63, Arrenway Drive, Rosedale, NZ 0632
Report No:	AKL2019-0017LAB Rev.1	PO Box 300206, Albany, Auckland, NZ 0752
Test Date:	26/05/2022	Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632
Tested By:	LSW/PH	Testing Locations Selected By: CMW Field Staff
Client:	Cabra Rural Development Limited	 <p>Test results indicated as not accredited are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation</p> <p>* Equivalent CBR Values are not accredited and are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation</p>
Client Address:	9B/30 Foundry Road, Silverdale, Auckland	
CBR Test Calculation:	Austrad (2010)	

Test No	21									
Test Location	Road 2									
Chainage & Offset	CH 320 L									
Material & Layer	Fill SG									
Depth (mm)	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*
0 - 100	1	2								
100 - 200	2	4								
200 - 300	2	4								
300 - 400	2	4								
400 - 500	3	6								
500 - 600	6	13								
600 - 700	5	10								
700 - 800	7	15								
800 - 900	7	15								
900 - 1000										
Test No										
Test Location										
Chainage & Offset										
Material & Layer										
Depth										
0 - 100										
100 - 200										
200 - 300										
300 - 400										
400 - 500										
500 - 600										
600 - 700										
700 - 800										
800 - 900										
900 - 1000										

This report replaces reports numbered AKL2019-0017LAB Rev.0 - Master Site Plan updated, no change to point location				This report should only be reproduced in full			
Created by:	RS	Date:	25/05/2022	*Equivalent CBR values calculated using AUSTRROADS (2010) Guide to Pavement Technology Part 2, Figure 5.3, For Fine Grained Cohesive Soils, and are relevant to fine grained cohesive soils only.			
Checked by:	JP	Date:	6/03/2024				
Authorised Signatory:	JLM	Date:	6/03/2024				
				Page 3 of 4			



LF14 Rev.13 Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCP) Test Report

NZS 4402: 1988 Test 6.5.2

Project:	Palliser Downs	Auckland Laboratory CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited 11/63, Arrenway Drive, Rosedale, NZ 0632 PO Box 300206, Albany, Auckland, NZ 0752 Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632
Project No:	AKL2019-0017	
Location:	Wainui	Testing Locations Selected By: CMW Field Staff
Report No:	AKL2019-0017LAB Rev.1	 <p>Test results indicated as not accredited are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation</p> <p>* Equivalent CBR Values are not accredited and are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation</p>
Test Date:	26/05/2022	
Tested By:	LSW/PH	
Client:	Cabra Rural Development Limited	
Client Address:	9B/30 Foundry Road, Silverdale, Auckland	
CBR Test Calculation:	Austrad (2010)	

Test No	11		12		13		14		15	
Test Location	Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2	
Chainage & Offset	CH 120 L		CH 140 R		CH 160 L		CH 180 R		CH 200 L	
Material & Layer	CH SG Natural		Fill SG		Fill SG		Fill SG		Fill SG	
Depth (mm)	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*
0 - 100	1	2	2	4	1	2	2	4	3	6
100 - 200	3	6	2	4	2	4	2	4	4	8
200 - 300	2	4	3	6	2	4	3	6	3	6
300 - 400	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4	5	10
400 - 500	3	6	2	4	2	4	3	6	5	10
500 - 600	4	8	2	4	2	4	3	6	4	8
600 - 700	3	6	2	4	2	4	4	8	3	6
700 - 800	3	6	3	6	2	4	8	18	3	6
800 - 900	4	8	4	8	2	4	6	13	3	6
900 - 1000										

Test No	16		17		18		19		20	
Test Location	Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2	
Chainage & Offset	CH 220 R		CH 240 L		CH 260 R		CH 280 L		CH 300 R	
Material & Layer	Fill SG		Fill SG		Fill SG		Fill SG		Fill SG	
Depth	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*
0 - 100	2	4	2	4	2	4	1	2	1	2
100 - 200	3	6	4	8	3	6	4	8	2	4
200 - 300	3	6	2	4	3	6	2	4	3	6
300 - 400	2	4	3	6	3	6	2	4	2	4
400 - 500	2	4	5	10	4	8	2	4	2	4
500 - 600	2	4	5	10	3	6	2	4	2	4
600 - 700	3	6	5	10	4	8	2	4	4	8
700 - 800	4	8	9	20	5	10	2	4	3	6
800 - 900	4	8	14	20+	8	18	2	4	5	10
900 - 1000										

This report replaces reports numbered AKL2019-0017LAB Rev.0 - Master Site Plan updated, no change to point location						This report should only be reproduced in full				
Created by:	RS	Date:	25/05/2022	*Equivalent CBR values calculated using AUSTRROADS (2010) Guide to Pavement Technology Part 2, Figure 5.3, For Fine Grained Cohesive Soils, and are relevant to fine grained cohesive soils only.						
Checked by:	JP	Date:	6/03/2024							
Authorised Signatory:	JLM	Date:	6/03/2024							
				Page 2 of 5						



LF14 Rev.13 Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCP) Test Report

NZS 4402: 1988 Test 6.5.2

Project:	Palliser Downs	Auckland Laboratory
Project No:	AKL2019-0017	CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited
Location:	Wainui	11/63, Arrenway Drive, Rosedale, NZ 0632
Report No:	AKL2019-0017LAB Rev.1	PO Box 300206, Albany, Auckland, NZ 0752
Test Date:	26/05/2022	Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632
Tested By:	LSW/PH	Testing Locations Selected By: CMW Field Staff
Client:	Cabra Rural Development Limited	 <p>Test results indicated as not accredited are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation</p> <p>* Equivalent CBR Values are not accredited and are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation</p>
Client Address:	9B/30 Foundry Road, Silverdale, Auckland	
CBR Test Calculation:	Austrad (2010)	

Test No	1		2		3		4		5	
Test Location	Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2	
Chainage & Offset	CH 20 L		CH 30 R		CH 40 L		CH 50 R		CH 60 L	
Material & Layer	CH SG Natural		CH SG Natural		CH SG Natural		CH SG Natural		CH SG Natural	
Depth (mm)	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*
0 - 100	3	6	2	4	3	6	3	6	2	4
100 - 200	5	10	3	6	5	10	12	20+	2	4
200 - 300	2	4	4	8	5	10	7	15	2	4
300 - 400	1	2	4	8	3	6	4	8	4	8
400 - 500	2	4	1	2	0	0	2	4	2	4
500 - 600	1	2	2	4	1	2	3	6	2	4
600 - 700	1	2	1	2	2	4	2	4	3	6
700 - 800	1	2	2	4	2	4	3	6	1	2
800 - 900	2	4	2	4	2	4	4	8	2	4
900 - 1000										

Test No	6		7		8		9		10	
Test Location	Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2	
Chainage & Offset	CH 70 R		CH 80 L		CH 90 R		CH 100 L		CH 110 R	
Material & Layer	CH SG Natural		CH SG Natural		CH SG Natural		CH SG Natural		CH SG Natural	
Depth	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*
0 - 100	1	2	3	6	3	6	3	6	2	4
100 - 200	8	18	3	6	4	8	4	8	2	4
200 - 300	4	8	4	8	3	6	4	8	4	8
300 - 400	3	6	2	4	2	4	3	6	3	6
400 - 500	3	6	3	6	2	4	3	6	3	6
500 - 600	3	6	2	4	2	4	4	8	3	6
600 - 700	4	8	2	4	2	4	4	8	3	6
700 - 800	5	10	2	4	2	4	4	8	2	4
800 - 900	4	8	2	4	2	4	4	8	2	4
900 - 1000										

This report replaces reports numbered AKL2019-0017LAB Rev.0 - **Master Site Plan updated, no change to point location**

Created by: RS Date: 25/05/2022


Checked by: JP Date: 6/03/2024

Authorised Signatory: JLM Date: 6/03/2024

This report should only be reproduced in full

*Equivalent CBR values calculated using AUSTRROADS (2010) Guide to Pavement Technology Part 2, Figure 5.3, For Fine Grained Cohesive Soils, and are relevant to fine grained cohesive soils only.

Page 1 of 5



Auckland Council

ENG60397250 / VIJAY.K

Approved Engineering Plan

09/09/2022



D	BASECOURSE DEPTH INCREASED TO 250mm	MS	PF	IH	AUG 2022
C	CONCRETE INTERSECTION CHANGED TO 30 MPa	MS	PF	IH	JUN 2022
B	AC MIX CHANGED FROM DG10 TO DG10	MS	PF	IH	MAY 2022
A	ROAD 2 REVISED LEVELS	MS	PF	IH	APR 2022
-	ISSUE FOR EPA APPROVAL	-	-	-	FEB 2022
No.	Revision	Drawn	Chk.	Appd.	Date


Hutchinson
OF LAND ENGINEERS

PO Box 150, Crowe 0946
154 Centway Road, Crowe 0931
Ph: 09 426 5702 www.hc.co.nz

Design	M. SYMONS	FEB 2022
Drawn	M. SYMONS	FEB 2022
Checked	P. FARLEY	FEB 2022
Approved	I HUTCHINSON	FEB 2022
Scale	1:1000 @ A3	
Scale vert. exag.		

Project
**CABRA RURAL DEVELOPMENTS & RAHOPARA FARMS LTD
PALLISER DOWNS STAGE 2 (2021)
PALLISER DOWNS DRIVE
WAINUI
ENGINEERING PLAN APPROVAL**

Title	PROPOSED ROAD 2 LAYOUT PLAN - SHEET 1 OF 2	Sheet No.	RD-203
Job No.	A3-24066 EPA	Rev.	D



Auckland Council
ENG60397250 / VIJAY K
 Approved Engineering Plan
 09/09/2022

LEGEND

- PROPOSED CONTOUR (MAJOR)
- PROPOSED CONTOUR (MINOR)
- PROPOSED LOT BOUNDARY
- PROPOSED ROAD RESERVE BOUNDARY
- EXISTING ROAD
- █ PROPOSED ROAD
- ▭ NOMINATED BUILDING PLATFORM (STAGE 2)
- ▭ NOMINATED BUILDING PLATFORM (STAGE 3)
- - - PROPOSED EARTHWORKS EXTENT



No.	Revision	Drawn	Chk.	Appd.	Date
C	BASECOURSE DEPTH INCREASED TO 250mm	MS	PF	IH	AUG 2022
B	AC MIX CHANGED FROM DG10 TO DG10	MS	PF	IH	MAY 2022
A	ROAD 2 REVISED LEVELS	MS	PF	IH	APR 2022
-	ISSUE FOR EPA APPROVAL	-	-	-	FEB 2022

Hutchinson
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 PO Box 150, Orewa 0948
 154 Centway Road, Orewa 0931
 Ph: 09 426 5702 www.hc.co.nz

Design	M. SYMONS	FEB 2022
Drawn	M. SYMONS	FEB 2022
Checked	P. FARLEY	FEB 2022
Approved	I HUTCHINSON	FEB 2022
Scale	1:1000 @ A3	
Scale vert. exag.		

Project
CABRA RURAL DEVELOPMENTS & RAHOPARA FARMS LTD
PALLISER DOWNS STAGE 2 (2021)
PALLISER DOWNS DRIVE
WAINUI
ENGINEERING PLAN APPROVAL

Title	PROPOSED ROAD 2 LAYOUT PLAN - SHEET 2 OF 2
Job No.	A3-24066 EPA

Sheet No.	RD-204
Rev.	C



LF11 Rev.17 Soil Field Density NDM Direct Transmission with VSS Report (Cohesive Soils)

Auckland Laboratory
 CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited
 11/63, Arrenway Drive, Rosedale, NZ 0632
 PO Box 300206, Albany, Auckland, NZ 0752
 Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632

Project: Palliser Downs
Project No: AKL2019-0017
Location: Wainui
Report No: AKL2019-0017LAD Rev.1
Report Date: 6/03/2024
Client: Cabra Rural Developments Limited
Client Address: 3 Alice Ave, Orewa 0931

Test Methods: NZS 4407 2015 Test 3.1 ◊
 NZS 4407 2015 Test 4.2
 NZGS: August 2001

Notes: Solid Density: Assumed
 Solid Density Data Source: N/A
 Testing Locations Selected By: CMW Field Staff
 ◊ Only samples <2.0mm will be considered for endorsed testing
 ① Blade size of 19mm used.



Test results indicated as not accredited are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation

Measurements marked * are not accredited and are outside the scope of the laboratories accreditation


Date Sampled	Sample No.	Test Location*		Soil Description*	Solid Density (t/m ³)*	Vane ID		In-situ Vane Shear Strengths					Field and Laboratory Testing Data								Comments
		Test Site	RL/Details			Head #	Blade # ①	Test 1 (kPa)	Test 2 (kPa)	Test 3 (kPa)	Test 4 (kPa)	Ave.	Gauge Wet Density (t/m ³)**	Gauge Dry Density (t/m ³)	Gauge Water Content (%)	Gauge Air Voids (%)	Gauge Probe Depth (mm)	Oven Water Content (%)	Oven Dry Density (t/m ³)	Oven Calculated Air Voids (%)*	
16/01/2023	N44	Lot 101	-	CLAY Fill	2.70	1702	1702	162	182	169	169	171	1.93	1.49	29.5	1	300	34.5	1.43	-3	No sample taken
	N45	Lot 101	-	CLAY Fill	2.70	1702	1702	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	1.94	1.51	28.6	1	300	25.9	1.54	3	
23/02/2023	N46	Lot 101	-	CLAY Fill	2.70	2992	2992	169	155	155	141	155	1.79	1.36	31.5	7	300	30.4	1.38	7	
	N47	Lot 101	-	CLAY Fill	2.70	2992	2992	197	197	141	191	182	1.81	1.36	33.3	5	300	32.5	1.36	5	
2/03/2023	N49	Lot 101 Rock undercut	+1000mm	CLAY Fill	2.70	1702	1702	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	2.01	1.71	18.1	6	300	-	-	-	
	N50	Lot 101 Rock undercut	+500mm	CLAY Fill	2.70	1702	1702	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	1.96	1.64	19.6	7	300	20.9	1.62	6	
6/03/2023	N51	Lot 55	+1500mm	CLAY Fill	2.70	1702	1702	UTP	UTP	141	177	159+	1.79	1.34	33.7	5	300	35.5	1.32	4	
	N52	Lot 55	+1000mm	CLAY Fill	2.70	1702	1702	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	1.84	1.39	32.3	4	300	31.9	1.39	4	
15/03/2023	N55	Lot 55 shear key 1st lift	-	CLAY Fill	2.70	1702	1702	182	145	139	142	152	1.74	1.27	37.1	6	300	43.1	1.22	2	
29/03/2023	N56	Lot 55 shear key	-	CLAY Fill	2.70	1702	1702	142	139	142	149	143	1.77	1.26	40.9	2	300	39.4	1.27	3	
5/04/2023	N57	Road 1	-	CLAY Fill	2.70	1702	1702	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	1.77	1.37	29.4	9	300	26.9	1.40	11	
	N58	Road 1	-	CLAY Fill	2.70	1702	1702	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	1.71	1.22	39.9	6	300	46.0	1.17	3	
20/04/2023	N59	Lot 55 Shear key	Final lift	CLAY Fill	2.70	1702	1702	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	1.76	1.33	32.4	7	300	-	-	-	

This report should only be reproduced in full.

** Gauge Wet Densities outside of the calibrated range of 1.754 to 2.611 t/m³ are not accredited and are outside the laboratories scope of accreditation.

This report replaces reports numbered AKL2019-0017LAD Rev.0 - **Master Site Plan updated, no change to point locations**

Created By: RS Date: 28/02/2023
 Checked By: JP Date: 6/03/2024
 Authorised Signatory (KTP): JLM Date: 6/03/2024



SEDIMENT RETENTION POND 1 NOTES:

- 280m³ REQUIRED TOTAL STORAGE VOLUME FOR 9300m² CONTRIBUTING CATCHMENT WITH 3% STORAGE.
- TO BE CONSTRUCTED WITH FOREBAY AND EROSION CONTROL FLOC BOX OR SIMILAR TO BE USED FOR FLOCCULATION.
- SINGLE T BAR DECANT TO BE USED AS PER AUCKLAND COUNCIL GD05 STANDARDS.
- TYPICAL POND DIMENSIONS: 31m LONG x 9m WIDE x 1.50m TOTAL DEPTH.
- REFER DRAWING EW-117 FOR DETAILS.

SEDIMENT RETENTION POND 2 NOTES:

- 190m³ REQUIRED TOTAL STORAGE VOLUME FOR 7600m² CONTRIBUTING CATCHMENT WITH 2.5% STORAGE.
- TO BE CONSTRUCTED WITH FOREBAY AND EROSION CONTROL FLOC BOX OR SIMILAR TO BE USED FOR FLOCCULATION.
- SINGLE T BAR DECANT TO BE USED AS PER AUCKLAND COUNCIL GD05 STANDARDS.
- TYPICAL POND DIMENSIONS: 23m LONG x 7m WIDE x 1.50m TOTAL DEPTH.
- REFER DRAWING EW-118 FOR DETAILS.

SEDIMENT RETENTION POND 3 NOTES:

- 140m³ REQUIRED TOTAL STORAGE VOLUME FOR 7000m² CONTRIBUTING CATCHMENT WITH 2% STORAGE.
- TO BE CONSTRUCTED WITH FOREBAY AND EROSION CONTROL FLOC BOX OR SIMILAR TO BE USED FOR FLOCCULATION.
- SINGLE T BAR DECANT TO BE USED AS PER AUCKLAND COUNCIL GD05 STANDARDS.
- TYPICAL POND DIMENSIONS: 23m LONG x 7m WIDE x 1.50m TOTAL DEPTH.
- REFER DRAWING EW-119 FOR DETAILS.

EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES:

1. EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL IN ACCORDANCE WITH GD05 AND TO BE CONFIRMED ON-SITE.
2. EARTHWORKS TO BE COMPLETED DURING PERIODS OF FINE DRY WEATHER WHERE POSSIBLE.
3. EXPOSED SUBGRADE TO BE LIMITED AND PROGRESSIVE STABILISATION TO BE IMPLEMENTED WHERE POSSIBLE.
4. EARTHWORK AREAS OUTSIDE OF EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL STAGES INVOLVING MINOR EARTHWORKS AND WILL BE COMPLETED UTILISING A CUT AND COVER METHODOLOGY. ANY EXPOSED SUBGRADE WILL BE SIMULTANEOUSLY STABILISED WITH METAL OR TOPSOILED, GRASS SEEDED AND HESSIAN MATTING PINNED.
5. REFER DRAWING EW-117 - EW-119 FOR SEDIMENT CONTROL DETAILS.

LEGEND

- EXISTING CONTOUR (MAJOR)
- EXISTING CONTOUR (MINOR)
- DIRTY WATER DIVERSION
- CLEAN WATER DIVERSION
- SEDIMENT RETENTION POND
- DECANTING EARTH BUND
- SUPER SILT FENCE
- EXTENT OF SITE WORKS
- STABILISED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS
- PROPOSED EFFLUENT DISPOSAL AREAS

ENG60397250 / VIJAY.K
 Approved Engineering Plan
 09/09/2022




E	CATCHMENT AREA & BUND NOTE ADDED	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
D	EDA AREAS ADDED	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
C	SRP 1 & 3 REVISED DIMENSIONS	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
B	DEB'S CHANGED TO SEDIMENT PONDS	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
A	SEDIMENT CONTROL DETAILS REVISED	MS	PF	IH	FEB 2022
No.	Revision	Drawn	Chk.	Appd.	Date

<p>PO Box 150, Orawa 6946 154 Centenary Road, Orawa 6931 Ph: 09 426 5702 www.hc.co.nz</p>	Design	M. SYMONS	FEB 2022
	Drawn	M. SYMONS	FEB 2022
	Checked	P. FARLEY	FEB 2022
	Approved	I HUTCHINSON	FEB 2022
	Scale	1:1,500 @ A3	
	Scale vert. exag.		

Project	CABRA RURAL DEVELOPMENTS & RAHOPARA FARMS LTD PALLISER DOWNS STAGE 2 (2021) PALLISER DOWNS DRIVE WAINUI ENGINEERING PLAN APPROVAL
---------	---

Title	ROAD 1 EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	Sheet No.	EW-114
Job No.	A3-24066 EPA	Rev.	E



DECANTING EARTH BUND 1 NOTES:

- DECANTING EARTH BUND (DEB) AS PER GD05 FIGURE 79
- CATCHMENT AREA: 5100m²
- TOTAL DESIGN VOLUME: 120m³
- DEAD STORAGE VOLUME: 36m³
- LIVE STORAGE VOLUME: 84m³
- BASE OF POND RL: 57.50m
- DECANT RL: 57.80m
- PRIMARY SPILLWAY RL: 58.50m
- EMERGENCY SPILLWAY RL: 58.6m
- TOP OF EMERGENCY SPILLWAY BUND RL: 58.85m (LEVELS APPROX. BASED ON TOPO SURVEY MAY BE ADJUSTED ON-SITE TO SUITE FINAL STRIP LEVELS)

SEDIMENT RETENTION POND 4 NOTES:

- 300m³ REQUIRED TOTAL STORAGE VOLUME FOR 7700m² CONTRIBUTING CATCHMENT WITH 3% STORAGE.
- TO BE CONSTRUCTED WITH FOREBAY AND EROSION CONTROL FLOC BOX OR SIMILAR TO BE USED FOR FLOCCULATION.
- SINGLE T BAR DECANT TO BE USED AS PER AUCKLAND COUNCIL GD05 STANDARDS.
- TYPICAL POND DIMENSIONS: 40m LONG x 8m WIDE x 1.50m TOTAL DEPTH.
- REFER DRAWING EW-120 FOR DETAILS.

SEDIMENT RETENTION POND 5 NOTES:

- 142m³ REQUIRED TOTAL STORAGE VOLUME FOR 7100m² CONTRIBUTING CATCHMENT WITH 3% STORAGE.
- TO BE CONSTRUCTED WITH FOREBAY AND EROSION CONTROL FLOC BOX OR SIMILAR TO BE USED FOR FLOCCULATION.
- SINGLE T BAR DECANT TO BE USED AS PER AUCKLAND COUNCIL GD05 STANDARDS.
- TYPICAL POND DIMENSIONS: 21m LONG x 7m WIDE x 1.50m TOTAL DEPTH.
- REFER DRAWING EW-121 FOR DETAILS.

PROPOSED STABILISED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE

DECANTING EARTH BUND 1

FORM DRIVABLE BUND WHERE DIRTY WATER DIVERSION CROSSES THE ROAD 2 ALIGNMENT

INSTALL DROP OUT PITS ALONG DIRTY WATER DIVERSIONS TO RETAIN HEAVIER SEDIMENT PARTICLES. INSTALL LARGE DROPOUT PIT AT DEB INLET TO ACT AS FOREBAY

DEB 1 CATCHMENT: 5100m²

N47 N49 N56 N50 N59 N53 N51 N59 N52 N44 N46 N45

EXISTING FARM CULVERTS TO BE UTILISED TO CONVEY CLEAN WATER THROUGH SITE DURING EARTHWORKS OPERATIONS. FARM CULVERTS TO BE UPGRADED DURING ROADING CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH HUTCHINSON EPA PLANS

LEGEND

- EXISTING CONTOUR (MAJOR)
- EXISTING CONTOUR (MINOR)
- - - DIRTY WATER DIVERSION
- - - CLEAN WATER DIVERSION
- ▭ SEDIMENT RETENTION POND
- ▭ DECANTING EARTH BUND
- SUPER SILT FENCE
- DROP-OUT PIT
- - - EXTENT OF SITE WORKS
- ▨ STABILISED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS
- ▨ PROPOSED EFFLUENT DISPOSAL AREAS

PROPOSED SUPER SILT FENCE 1 CONSTRUCTED AROUND LOWER PORTIONS OF EARTHWORKS EXTENTS TO GD05 SPECS. RETURNS EVERY 40m MAXIMUM SPACING

CONSTRUCT DIRTY WATER DIVERSION TO POND FOREBAY. AREAS BELOW POND CATCHMENT TO HAVE SUPER SILT FENCE INSTALLED TO MANAGE RUNOFF

SRP 4 / 5SF CATCHMENT: 2200m²

SEDIMENT RETENTION POND 4

FORM DRIVABLE BUND WHERE DIRTY WATER DIVERSION CROSSES THE ROAD 2 ALIGNMENT. REINSTATE AT THE END OF EACH DAY

SRP 4 CATCHMENT: 7700m²

SRP 4 / 5SF CATCHMENT: 2200m²

SEDIMENT RETENTION POND 5

SRP 4 CATCHMENT: 7700m²

EDAs TO BE MARKED OUT ON SITE

PROPOSED CLEAN WATER DIVERSIONS AROUND HIGH SIDE OF EARTHWORKS EXTENTS TO GD05 SPECS. DIVERSIONS TO EXTENT TO PROPOSED CULVERT LOCATIONS

SUPER SILT FENCE 2 CATCHMENT: 3150m²

CMW Geosciences
Great People | Practical Solutions

- EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES:**
1. EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL IN ACCORDANCE WITH GD05 AND TO BE CONFIRMED ON-SITE.
 2. EARTHWORKS TO BE COMPLETED DURING PERIODS OF FINE DRY WEATHER WHERE POSSIBLE.
 3. EXPOSED SUBGRADE TO BE LIMITED AND PROGRESSIVE STABILISATION TO BE IMPLEMENTED WHERE POSSIBLE.
 4. EARTHWORK AREAS OUTSIDE OF EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL STAGES INVOLVING MINOR EARTHWORKS AND WILL BE COMPLETED UTILISING A CUT AND COVER METHODOLOGY. ANY EXPOSED SUBGRADE WILL BE SIMULTANEOUSLY STABILISED WITH METAL OR TOPSOILED, GRASS SEEDING AND HESSIAN MATTING PINNED.
 5. REFER DRAWING EW-117 - EW-120 FOR SEDIMENT CONTROL DETAILS.


No.	Revision	Drawn	Chk.	Appd.	Date
D	EDA AREAS ADDED	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
D	SRP 4 & SILT FENCE CATCHMENTS CLOSED UP	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
C	DEB 1 DROP OUT PIT & DRIVEABLE BUNDS ADDED. EXISTING CULVERTS TO BE UTILISED FOR CLEAN WATER	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
B	DEB 4 UPDATED TO SEDIMENT POND 5	MS	PF	IH	MAR 2022
A	SEDIMENT CONTROL DETAILS REVISED	MS	PF	IH	FEB 2022

Hutchinson
PO Box 150, Orawa 9040
154 Centway Road, Orawa 0931
Ph: 09 426 8702 www.hc.co.nz

ENG60397250 / VIJAY.K
Approved Engineering Plan
09/09/2022

Project
ABRA RURAL DEVELOPMENTS & AHOPARA FARMS LTD
PALLISER DOWNS STAGE 2 (2021)
PALLISER DOWNS DRIVE
WAIWAI
ENGINEERING PLAN APPROVAL

Title	Sheet No.
ROAD 2 EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	EW-115
Job No.	Rev.
A3-24066 EPA	E



ENG60397250 / VIJAY.K
 Approved Engineering Plan
 09/09/2022



EARTHWORKS NOTES
 ROAD 2 AREA OF WORKS = 3.00 Ha
 CONTOURS ARE TO EXISTING SURFACE
 CONTOUR INTERVALS:
 1.0m MINOR
 5.0m MAJOR
 LEVELS ARE IN TERMS OF THE L45 AUCKLAND VERTICAL DATUM 1946



LEGEND

- EXISTING CONTOUR (MAJOR)
- EXISTING CONTOUR (MINOR)
- - - PROPOSED LOT BOUNDARY
- - - PROPOSED ROAD RESERVE BOUNDARY
- EXISTING ROAD
- █ PROPOSED ROAD
- █ PROPOSED CONCRETE INTERSECTION
- NOMINATED BUILDING PLATFORM (STAGE 2)
- NOMINATED BUILDING PLATFORM (STAGE 3)
- PROPOSED EARTHWORKS EXTENT

N54
N48
N55

No.	Revision	Drawn	Chk.	Appd.	Date
-	ISSUE FOR EPA APPROVAL	-	-	-	FEB 2022

Hutchinson
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 PO Box 150, Orewa 0948
 154 Centreway Road, Orewa 0931
 Ph: 09 426 5702 www.hc.co.nz

Design	M. SYMONS	FEB 2022
Drawn	M. SYMONS	FEB 2022
Checked	P. FARLEY	FEB 2022
Approved	I. HUTCHINSON	FEB 2022
Scale	1:1000 @ A3	
Scale vert. exag.		


Project
CABRA RURAL DEVELOPMENTS & RAHOPARA FARMS LTD
PALLISER DOWNS STAGE 2 (2021)
PALLISER DOWNS DRIVE
WAINUI
ENGINEERING PLAN APPROVAL

Title
ROAD 2 EXISTING CONTOUR PLAN - SHEET 1 OF 2
 Job No.
A3-24066 EPA

Sheet No.
EW-103
 Rev.
 -

LF14 Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCP) Test Report (Rev 16)

NZS 4402: 1988 Test 6.5.2


Project:	Palliser Downs	Auckland Laboratory CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited 11/63, Arrenway Drive, Rosedale, NZ 0632 PO Box 300206, Albany, Auckland, NZ 0752 Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632
Project No:	AKL2019-0017	
Location:	Wainui	
Report No:	AKL2019-0017LAE Rev.1	
Test Date:	16/03/2023	Testing Locations Selected By: CMW Field Staff
Tested By:	PH/DW	 <p>Test results indicated as not accredited are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation</p> <p>* Equivalent CBR Values are not accredited and are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation</p>
Client:	Cabra Rural Developments Limited	
Client Address:	3 Alice Ave, Orewa 0931	
CBR Test Calculation:	Austrroads (2010) (fine grained cohesive)	

Test No	11		12							
Test Location	Road 2		Road 2							
Chainage & Offset	CH580 +5m KL R		CH600 +1.5m KL C							
Material & Layer	Post Stabilised		Post Stabilised							
Depth (mm)	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*
0 - 100	7	15	8	18						
100 - 200	4	8	6	13						
200 - 300	4	8	4	8						
300 - 400	3	6	3	6						
400 - 500	2	4	2	4						
500 - 600	2	4	2	4						
600 - 700	2	4	2	4						
700 - 800	2	4	2	4						
800 - 900	2	4	2	4						
900 - 1000										
Test No										
Test Location										
Chainage & Offset										
Material & Layer										
Depth	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*
0 - 100										
100 - 200										
200 - 300										
300 - 400										
400 - 500										
500 - 600										
600 - 700										
700 - 800										
800 - 900										
900 - 1000										

This report replaces reports numbered AKL2019-0017LAD Rev.0 - Master Site Plan updated, no change to point location				This report should only be reproduced in full			
Created by:	RS	Date:	20/03/2023	<p>* Equivalent CBR values are taken from Fig 5.3, Austrroads Guide to Pavement Technology, Part 2: Pavement Structural Design, Austrroads 2010. Values are relevant to fine grained soils only.</p> <p>Page 2 of 3</p>			
Checked by:	JP	Date:	6/03/2024				
Authorised Signatory (KTP):	JLM	Date:	6/03/2024				

LF14 Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCP) Test Report (Rev 16)

NZS 4402: 1988 Test 6.5.2

Project:	Palliser Downs	Auckland Laboratory CMW Geotechnical NZ Limited 11/63, Arrenway Drive, Rosedale, NZ 0632 PO Box 300206, Albany, Auckland, NZ 0752 Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632
Project No:	AKL2019-0017	
Location:	Wainui	Testing Locations Selected By: CMW Field Staff
Report No:	AKL2019-0017LAE Rev.1	 <p>Test results indicated as not accredited are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation</p> <p>* Equivalent CBR Values are not accredited and are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation</p>
Test Date:	16/03/2023	
Tested By:	PH/DW	
Client:	Cabra Rural Developments Limited	
Client Address:	3 Alice Ave, Orewa 0931	
CBR Test Calculation:	Austrroads (2010) (fine grained cohesive)	

Test No	1		2		3		4		5	
Test Location	Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2	
Chainage & Offset	CH400 R		CH420 +1.5m L		CH440 +1.5m R		CH460 +1.5m L		CH480 +1.5m R	
Material & Layer	Post Stabilised		Post Stabilised		Post Stabilised		Post Stabilised		Post Stabilised	
Depth (mm)	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*
0 - 100	8	18	12	20+	9	20	7	15	10	20+
100 - 200	5	10	6	13	5	10	5	10	5	10
200 - 300	5	10	4	8	3	6	4	8	5	10
300 - 400	4	8	3	6	3	6	4	8	3	6
400 - 500	3	6	2	4	2	4	3	6	2	4
500 - 600	2	4	2	4	1	2	2	4	2	4
600 - 700	3	6	2	4	1	2	2	4	2	4
700 - 800	2	4	2	4	1	2	1	2	2	4
800 - 900	2	4	2	4	1	2	1	2	2	4
900 - 1000	2	4	2	4	1	2				


Test No	6		7		8		9		10	
Test Location	Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2		Road 2	
Chainage & Offset	CH500 +1.5m L		CH520 +1.5m L		CH450 +1.5m R		CH560 C		CH580 +5m KL L	
Material & Layer	Post Stabilised		Post Stabilised		Post Stabilised		Post Stabilised		Post Stabilised	
Depth	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*
0 - 100	8	18	8	18	11	20+	6	13	8	18
100 - 200	5	10	4	8	6	13	4	8	4	8
200 - 300	4	8	3	6	4	8	3	6	3	6
300 - 400	3	6	3	6	4	8	3	6	3	6
400 - 500	2	4	2	4	4	8	2	4	2	4
500 - 600	2	4	2	4	3	6	2	4	2	4
600 - 700	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4
700 - 800	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4
800 - 900	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4
900 - 1000			2	4	2	4	2	4		

This report replaces reports numbered AKL2019-0017LAD Rev.0 - Master Site Plan updated, no change to point location				This report should only be reproduced in full			
Created by:	RS	Date:	20/03/2023	<p>* Equivalent CBR values are taken from Fig 5.3, Austrroads Guide to Pavement Technology, Part 2: Pavement Structural Design, Austrroads 2010. Values are relevant to fine grained soils only.</p> <p>Page 1 of 3</p>			
Checked by:	JP	Date:	6/03/2024				
Authorised Signatory (KTP):	JLM	Date:	6/03/2024				



ENG60397250 / VIJAY K
Approved Engineering Plan

09/09/2022



LEGEND						
	PROPOSED CONTOUR (MAJOR)					
	PROPOSED CONTOUR (MINOR)					
	PROPOSED LOT BOUNDARY					
	PROPOSED ROAD RESERVE BOUNDARY					
	EXISTING ROAD					
	PROPOSED ROAD					
	NOMINATED BUILDING PLATFORM (STAGE 2)					
	NOMINATED BUILDING PLATFORM (STAGE 3)					
	PROPOSED EARTHWORKS EXTENT					

No.	Revision	Drawn	Chk.	Appd.	Date
C	BASECOURSE DEPTH INCREASED TO 250mm	MS	PF	IH	AUG 2022
B	AC MIX CHANGED FROM DG10 TO DG10	MS	PF	IH	MAY 2022
A	ROAD 2 REVISED LEVELS	MS	PF	IH	APR 2022
-	ISSUE FOR EPA APPROVAL	-	-	-	FEB 2022

Hutchinson
ENGINEERING

PO Box 150, Orewa 0948
154 Centway Road, Orewa 0931
Ph: 09 426 5702 www.hc.co.nz

Design	M. SYMONS	FEB 2022
Drawn	M. SYMONS	FEB 2022
Checked	P. FARLEY	FEB 2022
Approved	I HUTCHINSON	FEB 2022
Scale	1:1000 @ A3	
Scale vert. exag.		

Project
**CABRA RURAL DEVELOPMENTS & RAHOPARA FARMS LTD
PALLISER DOWNS STAGE 2 (2021)
PALLISER DOWNS DRIVE
WAINUI
ENGINEERING PLAN APPROVAL**

Title	PROPOSED ROAD 2 LAYOUT PLAN - SHEET 2 OF 2	Sheet No.	RD-204
Job No.	A3-24066 EPA	Rev.	C

APPENDIX F: STABILITY SUPPLEMENT

1 INTRODUCTION

CMW Geosciences (CMW) was engaged by Cabra Rural Developments Limited / Rahopara Farms Limited to carry geotechnical investigations of a site located at Palliser Downs, which is being considered for a rural subdivision, in particular Lots 33 to 37 comprising Stage 1A, to verify earthworks meet geotechnical requirements.

The scope of work and associated terms and conditions of our engagement were detailed in our services proposal letter referenced AKL2019-0017AB, Rev. 0 dated 31 May 2021.

This report is to support a resource consent application to Auckland Council and provides the basis for elements of the Statement of Professional Opinion provided in the Geotechnical Completion Report AKL2019-0017AF Rev. 0, dated 6 September 2023.

2 SITE DETAILS

The site comprises an area of approximately 111,1260 m² and is located Palliser Downs Drive, Weranui as shown in **Figure 1**.

Details of the site are as follows:

- Legal description is Lot 1 DP 556774, Lot 2 DP 556774.
- Lots 33 to 37 at the southern end of the development comprise Stage 1A .
- The site is bound by Palliser Downs Drive and residential dwellings east, rural land to the north, west and south.
- Historical aerial photographs¹ show the site remained rural and farmland up until the current development.

SITE INVESTIGATION AND SLOPE STABILITY ASSESSMENT

Project:	Palliser Downs Stage 1A	Job Number:	AKL2019-0017
Site Address:	Palliser Downs Drive, Wainui	Client:	Cabra Rural Developments Limited/Rahopara Farms Limited
Prepared by:	Navneel Karan	Reviewed by:	Richard Knowles




Figure 1: Site Location Plan (Auckland Council GIS Geomaps)

¹ Retrolens website, Sourced from <http://retrolens.nz> and licensed by LINZ CC-BY 3.0

3 INVESTIGATION

3.1 Field Investigation

The field investigation was carried out in two phases in November 2021 and June 2023 during summer and winter weather conditions. The scope of fieldwork completed is shown below:

Investigation Summary			
Test ID	Test Type	Depth (m)	Samples ¹
HA09-21 to HA13-21	Hand Auger Boreholes	2.2-3.9	-
GCR01-23 to GCR08-23	Geotechnical Completion Report (GCR) Hand Auger Borehole	2.0	4 x Expansives
HA01-24 to HA05-24	Hand Auger Boreholes	2.0	-

Notes: ¹Refer Section 6.3 for details

Engineering logs of the relevant investigations are appended.

The approximate locations of the respective investigation sites referred to above are shown in **Figure 2**.

4 GEOLOGY

4.1 Published Geology

Published geological maps² for the area depict the regional geology for the area as comprising Hukerenui Mudstone (Mangakahia Complex) of the Northland Allochthon Group with Alluvium found in gullies and streams as illustrated in **Error! Reference source not found.3**.

The main geohazards associated with these geological units are presented below:

Published Geology Summary			
Geological Unit	Location	Behaviour	Principal Potential Geohazards
Tauranga Group Alluvium/ Colluvium	Mapped in low-lying areas around adjacent streams	Unconsolidated organic deposits and clays will usually subside if unsupported or overloaded. Susceptible to soil creep and shallow flows on gentle slopes, particularly when saturated.	Load-induced settlement
Hukerenui Mudstone (part of the Mangakahia Complex of the Northland Allochthon)	Predominant geology as per published maps.	High plasticity clays prone to debris sliding and deep-seated creep, even on gentle slopes.	Landslips




Figure 2: Site Investigation Plan

² Edbrooke, S. W. (compiler) 2001: Geology of the Auckland area. Institute of Geological & Nuclear Sciences 1:250 000 geological map 3. 1 sheet +74 p. Lower Hutt, New Zealand. Institute of Geological & Nuclear Sciences.




Figure 3: Regional Geological Map (GNS Geology)

5 DESIGN CRITERIA

The stability of cut batters and fill embankments under a range of design conditions is expressed in terms of a factor of safety, which is defined as the ratio of forces resisting failure to the forces causing failure. The following performance standards are recommended for slope stability assessment:

Slope Stability Minimum Factor of Safety Criteria		
Condition	Building Platforms	Amenity or Low Risk Areas
Normal Groundwater Condition	1.5	1.2
Extreme (worst credible) groundwater condition	1.3	1.1
Seismic condition for ULS PGA (calculated as 0.19g)	1.0	N/A or 1.0

6 DESIGN PARAMETERS

Geotechnical Design Parameters					
Unit Description	Strength Range	γ (kN/m ³)	c' (kPa)	ϕ' (deg)	S_u (kPa)
Engineered Fill	100-200kPa	17.5	8	28	100
Alluvium (Tauranga Group)	60-90kPa	18	4	22	60
Hukerenui Residual Soils (Northland Allochthon)	89 – 120 kPa	17	5	26	60
Hukerenui Transition Zone (Northland Allochthon)	15-20 blows/ 100mm	18	6	12	125

Hukerenui Parent Rock (Northland Allochthon)	N = 50+	20.5	20	28	150
Notes: γ = soil unit weight (conservative value determined from typical published values for similar soil types)					
c' = effective cohesion (conservative industry accepted values, CMW laboratory testing records and back analysis)					
ϕ' = effective friction angle (conservative industry accepted values, CMW laboratory testing records and back analysis)					

7 METHODOLOGY

- Slope stability analyses were undertaken using the Morgenstern-Price method of slices under complex failure mechanisms using the proprietary software SLIDE Version 6.

8 INITIAL RESULTS

Slope stability analyses were undertaken on Sections C-C, T-T and U-U (see **Figure 2**).

Results are appended to this memo and are summarised below for the proposed landform.

Slope Stability Analysis Results – Minimum Factors of Safety						
Section	Stable Building Platforms			Amenity Areas		
	Prevailing	Transient	Seismic	Prevailing	Transient	Seismic
C-C	2.6	1.9	2.1	1.3	1.1	-
T-T	1.6	1.5	2.2	-	-	-
U-U	2.1	1.8	2.2	1.4	1.2	-


Based on the slope stability analysis, required factors of safety were met for all three sections for all cases. The slip surface for Section C-C is striking through an area outside the building platform. In regard to the low factor of safety numbers for the normal groundwater and high groundwater case for Section U-U, are outside of the building platform and are considered to be within appropriate limits. No remediation required.




Stability Analysis Summary Table

Client: Cabra Rural Developments Limited / Rahopara Farms Limited
 Project: Palliser Downs
 Project Number: AKL2019-0017
 Date: 25/09/2023
 Notes: NGW = Normal Groundwater Target minimum FoS = 1.5
 HGW = High Groundwater (worst credible) Target minimum FoS = 1.3
 SEIS = Seismic Target minimum FoS = 1.0


Cross Section	Profile	Design Case	Analysis Type	Factor of Safety	Printout Included	Additional Comments
Section C	Back Analysis	HGW	Circular	1.9	✓	
	Proposed	NGW	Circular	1.7	✓	Meets FoS requirements
		HGW	Circular	1.2	✓	Failing on area outside building platform
		SEIS	Circular	1.2	✓	Meets FoS requirements
Section C	Back Analysis	HGW	Non-Circular (Block)	1.9	✓	
	Proposed	NGW	Non-Circular (Block)	1.6	✓	Meets FoS requirements
		HGW	Non-Circular (Path)	1.5	✓	Meets FoS requirements
		SEIS	Non-Circular (Path)	2.1	✓	Meets FoS requirements
Section C	Back Analysis	HGW	Non-Circular (Cuckoo)	1.7	✓	
	Proposed	NGW	Non-Circular (Cuckoo)	1.3	✓	Failing on area outside building platform
		HGW	Non-Circular (Cuckoo)	1.1	✓	Failing on area outside building platform
		SEIS	Non-Circular (Cuckoo)	2.1	✓	Meets FoS requirements




Section C - Back Analysis (Worst Case Scenario) (Circular)



Proposed Section C - Normal Groundwater Conditions (Circular)




Proposed Section C - High Groundwater Conditions (Circular)




Proposed Section C - Seismic Event (Circular)




Project	Palliser Downs	Analysis	Circular	Project No.	AKL2019-0017
Title	Section C	Date	18/10/2023	Drawing	STAB 01




Section C - Back Analysis (Worst Case Scenario) (Non-circular, Block)



Proposed Section C - Normal Groundwater Conditions (Non-circular, Block)




Proposed Section C - High Groundwater Conditions (Non-circular, Block)




Proposed Section C - Seismic Event (Non-circular, Block)


	Project Palliser Downs	Analysis Non-circular (Block and Path)	Project No. AKL2019-0017
	Title Section C	Date 18/10/2023	Drawing STAB 02




Section C - Back Analysis (Worst Case Scenario) (Non-circular, Cuckoo)



Proposed Section C - Normal Groundwater Conditions Non-circular, Cuckoo







Proposed Section C - High Groundwater Conditions (Non-circular, Cuckoo)







Proposed Section C - Seismic Event (Non-circular, Cuckoo)







Project	Palliser Downs	Analysis	Non-circular (Cuckoo)	Project No.	AKL2019-0017
Title	Section C	Date	18/10/2023	Drawing	STAB 03

Material Name	Color	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Strength Type	Cohesion (kPa)	Phi (°)	Ru Value
Engineered Fill		18	Mohr-Coulomb	8	28	0.05
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Residual Soils		17	Mohr-Coulomb	5	26	0.02
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Transition Zone		18	Mohr-Coulomb	6	12	0.05
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Parent Rock		20.5	Mohr-Coulomb	20	28	0

Normal Groundwater Conditions Parameters

Material Name	Color	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Strength Type	Cohesion (kPa)	Phi (°)	Ru Value
Engineered Fill		18	Mohr-Coulomb	8	28	0.2
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Residual Soils		17	Mohr-Coulomb	5	26	0.5
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Transition Zone		18	Mohr-Coulomb	6	12	0.2
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Parent Rock		20.5	Mohr-Coulomb	20	28	0

High Groundwater Conditions Parameters

Material Name	Color	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Strength Type	Cohesion (kPa)	Cohesion Type	Shear/Normal Function	Ru Value
Engineered Fill (Undrained)		18	Undrained	100	Constant		0.05
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Residual Soils (Undrained)		17	Undrained	60	Constant		0.2
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Transition Zone (Undrained)		18	Undrained	125	Constant		0.05
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Parent Rock (Undrained)		20.5	Shear/Normal Function			Shear Normal	0


Seismic Parameters




Stability Analysis Summary Table

Client: Cabra Rural Developments Limited / Rahopara Farms Limited
 Project: Palliser Downs
 Project Number: AKL2019-0017
 Date: 25/09/2023
 Notes: NGW = Normal Groundwater Target minimum FoS = 1.5
 HGW = High Groundwater (worst credible) Target minimum FoS = 1.3
 SEIS = Seismic Target minimum FoS = 1.0


Cross Section	Profile	Design Case	Analysis Type	Factor of Safety	Printout Included	Additional Comments
Section T	Back Analysis	HGW	Non-Circular	1.4	✓	Fos required met
	Proposed	NGW	Non-Circular	1.6	✓	
		HGW	Non-Circular	1.4	✓	
		SEIS	Non-Circular	2.2	✓	
Section U	Back Analysis	HGW	Non-Circular	1.2	✓	Failure circles less than target minimum outside of building platform (Ammenity area)
	Proposed	NGW	Non-Circular	1.4	✓	
		HGW	Non-Circular	1.2	✓	
		SEIS	Non-Circular	2.2	✓	




Section T - Back Analysis (Worst Case Scenario)



Proposed Section T - Normal Groundwater Conditions




Proposed Section T - High Groundwater Conditions




Proposed Section T - Seismic Event




<i>Project</i>	Palliser Downs	<i>Analysis</i>	Cuckoo	<i>Project No.</i>	AKL2019-0017
<i>Title</i>	Section T	<i>Date</i>	18/10/2023	<i>Drawing</i>	STAB 01




Section U - Back Analysis (Worst Case Scenario)



Proposed Section U - Normal Groundwater Conditions








Proposed Section U - High Groundwater Conditions








Proposed Section U - Seismic Event








Project	Palliser Downs	Analysis	Cuckoo	Project No.	AKL2019-0017
Title	Section U	Date	18/10/2023	Drawing	STAB 02

Material Name	Color	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Strength Type	Cohesion (kPa)	Phi (°)	Ru Value
Fill		17.5	Mohr-Coulomb	8	28	0.05
Alluvium		18	Mohr-Coulomb	4	22	0.2
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Residual Soils		17	Mohr-Coulomb	5	26	0.2
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Transition Zone		18	Mohr-Coulomb	6	12	0.05
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Parent Rock		20.5	Mohr-Coulomb	20	28	0

Normal Groundwater Parameters

Material Name	Color	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Strength Type	Cohesion (kPa)	Phi (°)	Ru Value
Fill		17.5	Mohr-Coulomb	8	28	0.2
Alluvium		18	Mohr-Coulomb	4	22	0.5
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Residual Soils		17	Mohr-Coulomb	5	26	0.5
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Transition Zone		18	Mohr-Coulomb	6	12	0.2
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Parent Rock		20.5	Mohr-Coulomb	20	28	0

High Groundwater Parameters

Material Name	Color	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Strength Type	Cohesion (kPa)	Cohesion Type	Shear/Normal Function	Ru Value
Fill (Undrained)		20	Undrained	100	Constant		0.05
Alluvium (Undrained)		18	Undrained	60	Constant		0.2
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Residual Soil (Undrained)		20	Undrained	60	Constant		0.2
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Transition Zone (Undrained)		18	Undrained	125	Constant		0.05
Hukerenui Mudstone (NA) Parent Rock (Undrained)		20.5	Shear/Normal Function			Shear Normal	0

Seismic Parameters